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More cancer therapies are being administered via an oral route. This paradigm shift in providing cancer treatment has been met with both excitement and significant challenges for oncology practitioners. Multiple factors can impact the ability for patients to initiate and stay on oral cancer therapy. A major factor in patient adherence with oral cancer therapies is management of side effects. Side effects from therapy not only have a negative impact on a patient’s quality of life but also can cause serious complications. In addition, they can impact the patient’s ability to stay on therapy at optimal doses. New strategies must be designed for educating patients and caregivers, as well as for patient management and follow-up. When side effects are not managed appropriately, patients are less likely to want or be able to adhere to established treatment plans.

This article explores several challenges related to the use of oral cancer therapies, with a focus on side effects seen with various classes of new targeted agents. Evidence-based practice strategies and areas in need of additional exploration and research are reviewed.

Issues Impacting Successful Use of Oral Cancer Therapies

Although the prevalence of oral oncology agents is expanding rapidly, many factors impact their successful use. With patients taking these medications away from the clinic, the healthcare team may not be aware of many of these issues. Four areas that impact the use of oral oncology agents include financial issues, patient education, adherence issues, and toxicity management.

Financial Issues

Once the prescription is written for an oral cancer agent, the patient may not be able to fill it without obtaining prior authorization from their insurance provider. Oncology practices may not have individuals in place to assist patients with this process. Delays in obtaining a preauthorization can result in patients not having started medication by the time of their next scheduled appointment. Patients may believe the provider has this information and may not contact the provider to share it.

Copay amounts vary widely. With treatment costs of as much as $10,000 a month, a copayment of even 5% can be prohibitive. Patients may choose to decline the prescription and not notify their healthcare provider until their next visit. Delays may occur as patients may not have individuals in place to assist patients with this process. Delays in obtaining a preauthorization can result in patients not having started medication by the time of their next scheduled appointment. Patients may believe the provider has this information and may not contact the provider to share it.

2013 Oncology Nursing Society Clinical Lectureship Article

More cancer therapies are being administered via an oral route. This paradigm shift in providing cancer treatment has been met with both excitement and significant challenges for oncology practitioners. Multiple factors can impact the ability for patients to initiate and stay on oral cancer therapy. A major factor in patient adherence with oral cancer therapies is management of side effects. Side effects from therapy not only have a negative impact on a patient’s quality of life but also can cause serious complications. In addition, they can impact the patient’s ability to stay on therapy at optimal doses. New strategies must be designed for educating patients and caregivers, as well as for patient management and follow-up. When side effects are not managed appropriately, patients are less likely to want or be able to adhere to established treatment plans. This article explores several challenges related to the use of oral cancer therapies, with a focus on side effects seen with various classes of new targeted agents. Evidence-based practice strategies and areas in need of additional exploration and research are reviewed.

Issues Impacting Successful Use of Oral Cancer Therapies

Although the prevalence of oral oncology agents is expanding rapidly, many factors impact their successful use. With patients taking these medications away from the clinic, the healthcare team may not be aware of many of these issues. Four areas that impact the use of oral oncology agents include financial issues, patient education, adherence issues, and toxicity management.

Financial Issues

Once the prescription is written for an oral cancer agent, the patient may not be able to fill it without obtaining prior authorization from their insurance provider. Oncology practices may not have individuals in place to assist patients with this process. Delays in obtaining a preauthorization can result in patients not having started medication by the time of their next scheduled appointment. Patients may believe the provider has this information and may not contact the provider to share it.

Copay amounts vary widely. With treatment costs of as much as $10,000 a month, a copayment of even 5% can be prohibitive. Patients may choose to decline the prescription and not notify their healthcare provider until their next visit. Delays may occur as patients may not have individuals in place to assist patients with this process. Delays in obtaining a preauthorization can result in patients not having started medication by the time of their next scheduled appointment. Patients may believe the provider has this information and may not contact the provider to share it.

Copay amounts vary widely. With treatment costs of as much as $10,000 a month, a copayment of even 5% can be prohibitive. Patients may choose to decline the prescription and not notify their healthcare provider until their next visit. Delays may occur as patients may not have individuals in place to assist patients with this process. Delays in obtaining a preauthorization can result in patients not having started medication by the time of their next scheduled appointment. Patients may believe the provider has this information and may not contact the provider to share it.

Barefoot, Blecher, & Emery, 2009; Hede, 2009; Maloney & Kagan, 2011.)