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W
ith an increase in lawsuits naming nurses as defendants, being educated about the law can be a nurse’s most valuable asset. Professionals from all fields are being held liable for damages resulting from their actions and decisions; today, nurses are facing the same level of security (Howard, Steiert, Deason, & Godkin, 1997). In 747 cases filed from 1988 through 1993, nursing negligence in hospitals caused or contributed to 219 deaths (Miller-Slade, 1997).

This article is a follow-up to Part I of “Nurse Documentation: Not Done or Worse, Done the Wrong Way” (Frank-Stromborg, Christensen, & Do, 2001) that discussed the various methods and forms of documentation used by nurses and the use of emerging technology and its impact on patient charting. This article discusses the elements of a malpractice lawsuit and describes how inadequate nursing documentation can result in a malpractice action. Examples of real lawsuits involving nurses and their documentation practices are provided for nurses to effectively adopt documentation practices or policies to decrease potential litigation.

Nursing Liability for Inadequate Documentation

The liability that a nurse may encounter revolves around documentation like the patient record. The patient record serves not only as a confidential record that identifies the patient and healthcare services provided, but it is also a business and legal record that serves multiple purposes (Aiken & Catalano, 1994). Malpractice cases often are decided based on documentation (Trott, 1998). Therefore, in documentation, every word counts. Legally speaking, a nurse’s document is just as important as the care provided. A nurse’s document must have a written record of assessments and the interventions performed in response to those assessments (Aiken & Catalano). When a nurse commits a negligent act in his or her professional capacity that results in an injury, it is known as malpractice (Eskreis, 1998).

Oncology nurses are extremely vulnerable to malpractice suits because breast, bronchus or lung, and colon and rectal cancers are among the top 15 conditions that lead to a malpractice claim (Buppert, 1999). Cancer malpractice cases are more frequent and costly than ever. Million dollar awards are not uncommon in these suits. Nurses involved in the care and treatment of patients with cancer must follow exacting standards to provide quality care and avoid malpractice liability.

In any medical malpractice suit brought against a nurse, a patient (the plaintiff) must prove (a) the nurse owed a duty of care to the patient, (b) the nurse breached that duty by conduct that was not done.

Key Points . . .

➤ Nurses are increasingly liable for improper documentation of patient care because the law presumes that if the work was not documented, it was not done.

➤ The nurse’s document must have a written record of assessments noted and the interventions performed in response to those assessments.

➤ Nurses must guard against liability by keeping up-to-date on every aspect of their practice, identifying risk factors associated with documentation, implementing risk-reduction practices, and following these practices until they become second nature.