Cancer recurrence is a very real concern for cancer survivors. Surveillance for recurrence and vigilance for development of new cancers are top priorities during follow-up visits after active treatment ends. However, the cancer survivor also is at risk for the development of comorbid conditions. These conditions, including obesity, diabetes, dyslipidemia, menopause, decreased bone mass, hypertension, and hypothyroidism, are discussed with their relevance for general health and their relationships to disease-specific cancers. All of these conditions should be routinely addressed as part of the patient’s survivorship care when appropriate. The oncology nurse is in a prime position to educate survivors about the risks for these conditions, both through evidence-based practice guidelines specific to each condition and also through the use of a treatment summary and care plan. This article discusses these selected comorbidities and offers strategies for nurses to address them with survivors during follow-up visits. Clinical practice guidelines for comorbidities are included, along with oncology implications and relevance for survivors. Recommendations for modifiable risk factors and healthy living also are included, along with Web sites for survivorship care plans.
Women: more than 35 inches

Distinguish lean tissue; therefore, athletes with large muscle mass and body fat (National Institutes of Health [NIH], 2000). However, (NHANES) uses BMI because it correlates with the amount of obese, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys overweight or obese. To assess for a patient being overweight and with increased body mass index (BMI) and who are considered menopausal breast cancer and endometrial cancer for patients and Swanson (1996) reported increased relative risks for post 38% were obese at baseline (Mosher et al., 2009). Ballard-Barbash cancer found that, among participants, 60% were overweight and obesity prevalence was 36% overall and 37% among non-Hispanic African American men. For women, the age-adjusted prevalence was 36% overall and 50% among non-Hispanic African American women (CDC, 2010b).

In practice, BMI and waist circumference can be measured to assess for obesity and, if BMI is greater than 35 kg/m², measuring waist circumference is unnecessary (NIH, 2000). Central adiposity, defined as waist circumference of greater than 40 inches in men and greater than 35 inches in women, signifies a greater risk for diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Additional assessment should include age of onset, the level of motivation for losing weight, previous weight loss attempts, dietary patterns, history of exercise, current and past medications, and history of smoking cessation (Bray, 2010; NHLBI, 2010a).

One of the consequences of obesity is atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, and patients suffering from this disease tend to have a cluster of risk factors that have been identified as metabolic syndrome. Risk factors include increased waist circumference, elevated triglycerides, reduced high-density lipoproteins (HDLs), and elevated blood pressure and blood glucose (Grundy, 2004).

Diabetes

Diabetes is a metabolic disease characterized by hyperglycemia attributable to defects in insulin resistance or action,

Prevalent Comorbidities

Obesity

Obesity is increasing in prevalence and is associated with higher mortality rates and the risk for many health disorders, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary heart disease, sleep apnea, cancer, osteoarthritis, cholelithiasis, and impaired quality of life (Daniels, 2006; Flegal, Carroll, Ogden, & Curtin, 2010). Obesity also is a concern to cancer survivors. One study of older survivors of breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer found that, among participants, 60% were overweight and 38% were obese at baseline (Mosher et al., 2009). Ballard-Barbash and Swanson (1996) reported increased relative risks for postmenopausal breast cancer and endometrial cancer for patients with increased body mass index (BMI) and who are considered overweight or obese. To assess for a patient being overweight and obese, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) uses BMI because it correlates with the amount of body fat (National Institutes of Health [NIH], 2000). However, it should be noted that it does not measure body fat directly or distinguish lean tissue; therefore, athletes with large muscle mass may have a BMI that would erroneously identify them as being overweight. For adults aged 20 years or older, overweight is defined as a BMI of 25–29.9 kg/m² and obesity is defined as a BMI of 30 kg/m² or greater (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2010a, 2010b; Flegal et al., 2010; NIH, 2000) (see Figure 1).

Other methods of estimating body fat and body fat distribution include measurements of skin fold thickness, waist circumference, and calculation of waist-to-hip circumference ratios (Daniels, 2006; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute [NHLBI], 2010a). The prevalence of obesity shows significant variation by racial and ethnic groups and age. According to CDC (2010b) estimates, the prevalence of obesity in the United States is high, exceeding 30% in most age and sex groups except for men aged 20–39 years. Among men, age-adjusted obesity prevalence was 32% overall and 37% among non-Hispanic African American men. For women, the age-adjusted prevalence was 36% overall and 50% among non-Hispanic African American women (CDC, 2010b).

In practice, BMI and waist circumference can be measured to assess for obesity and, if BMI is greater than 35 kg/m², measuring waist circumference is unnecessary (NIH, 2000). Central adiposity, defined as waist circumference of greater than 40 inches in men and greater than 35 inches in women, signifies a greater risk for diabetes, heart disease, hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Additional assessment should include age of onset, the level of motivation for losing weight, previous weight loss attempts, dietary patterns, history of exercise, current and past medications, and history of smoking cessation (Bray, 2010; NHLBI, 2010a).

One of the consequences of obesity is atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, and patients suffering from this disease tend to have a cluster of risk factors that have been identified as metabolic syndrome. Risk factors include increased waist circumference, elevated triglycerides, reduced high-density lipoproteins (HDLs), and elevated blood pressure and blood glucose (Grundy, 2004).

Diabetes

Diabetes is a metabolic disease characterized by hyperglycemia attributable to defects in insulin resistance or action,

Classification for Body Mass Index

• Underweight: less than 18.5 kg/m²
• Normal weight: 18.5–24.9 kg/m²
• Overweight: 25–29.9 kg/m²
• Obesity (class 1): 30–34.9 kg/m²
• Obesity (class 2): 35–39.9 kg/m²
• Extreme obesity (class 3): more than 40 kg/m²

Waist Circumference

• These values signify higher risk for obesity.
  – Men: more than 40 inches
  – Women: more than 35 inches

Note: Measuring waist circumference is not necessary if a person’s body mass index is greater than 35 kg/m².

Figure 1. Criteria for Diagnosis of Obesity

secretion, or both. Insulin is a hormone that regulates the movement of sugar into cells. Defects include autoimmune destruction of the B-cells of the pancreas or abnormalities in carbohydrate, fat, and protein metabolism with deficient action of insulin on target tissues, therefore leading to deficient insulin or diminished tissue response to insulin at one or more points in the complex pathways of hormone action (American Diabetes Association [ADA], 2010b). The inability to maintain a normal glucose level can lead to long-term damage, dysfunction, and failure of many organ systems, including eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart, and blood (ADA, 2010b). Diabetes used to be classified as insulin-dependent, now called type 1, and noninsulin dependent or adult-onset, now referred to as type 2 (ADA, 2010b; CDC, 2007; Meredith & Horan, 2000). Type 2 diabetes accounts for 90%–95% of people afflicted with the disease (ADA, 2010c; CDC, 2007).

Type 2 diabetes is a combination of resistance-to-insulin action and inadequate compensatory insulin-secretory response. Autoimmune destruction of B-cells does not occur. Patients may be asymptomatic or complain of symptoms such as polyuria, polydipsia, weight loss, polyphagia, and blurred vision. Long-term complications include retinopathy with potential loss of vision; nephropathy leading to renal failure; peripheral neuropathy with risk of injuries, including foot ulcers and amputations; and cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and genitourinary symptoms, including sexual dysfunction (ADA, 2010b). Incidence increases with a family history, age (people aged 40 years or older are at higher risk), obesity (particularly with central adiposity), hypertension, and dyslipidemia. Greater prevalence exists in African Americans, Hispanic and Latino Americans, American Indians, Asian Americans, and Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders (American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists [AACE], 2007; CDC, 2007). Type 2 diabetes is linked to poor exercise and dietary habits and can be undetected for 10 years or longer (prediabetes); many patients have established macrovascular and microvascular complications by the time of diagnosis (ADA, 2010b). Periodontal (gum) disease is more common in people with diabetes, particularly if poorly controlled (CDC, 2007).

A diagnosis of diabetes is another strain on cancer survivors’ health and may confer a risk for the development of a secondary cancer. Hu et al. (1999), based on data from the Nurses’ Health Study, examined the relationship between type 2 diabetes mellitus and the risk of colorectal cancer prospectively in a cohort of 118,403 women, aged 30–55 years, who were without a diagnosis of colorectal cancer at baseline in 1976. Some of these women had type 2 diabetes at baseline and some developed it during the study. Type 1 diabetics were not included. Hu et al. (1999) reported that 892 new cases of colorectal cancer developed in these diabetic women. The relative risks were 1.43 for patients with diabetes with colorectal cancer (95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.1–1.87; p = 0.009) and 2.39 for fatal colorectal cancer (95% CI = 1.46–3.92; p = 0.0005), thus establishing increased risks for colorectal cancer in women who have diabetes. It should be noted that, although associations have been found between diabetes and breast cancer in postmenopausal women and renal cell and endometrial cancer in obese women, no clear causal connection has been established between diabetes and these cancers (Volkers, 2000).

Symptoms

- Polyuria, polydipsia, and unexplained weight loss coupled with a casual plasma glucose concentration of more than 200 mg/dl.
- Fasting plasma glucose concentration of more than 126 mg/dl. Fasting is defined as being at least eight hours in duration.
- Two-hour post-challenge glucose concentration of more than 200 mg/dl during a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test.

Note. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists does not recommend hemoglobin A1C for diagnosis, but it is used for ongoing management.

**Figure 2. Criteria for Diagnosis of Diabetes**


Diagnostic criteria for diabetes has been updated (see Figure 2). Hemoglobin A1C is a widely used marker that reflects average glucose levels over two to three months, plays a critical role in management of patients with diabetes, and helps evaluate the effectiveness of glycemic management. However, the use of hemoglobin A1C for diagnosis is controversial. The ADA (2010b) recommends the use of hemoglobin A1C for diagnosis with standardization and certification of hemoglobin A1C assays; however, the AACE (2009) recommends using hemoglobin A1C for measurement of achieving control once therapy has been initiated, not for the initial diagnosis. Hemoglobin A1C is valid for the life of the red blood cell. For patients with cancer who may have had a transfusion, it is important to consider that the readings for hemoglobin A1C may not be reflective of the patient’s true reading (Oyer, Shah, & Bettenhausen, 2006).

For patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes, the recommended goal for hemoglobin A1C is below or around 7%. Weight loss is recommended for overweight and obese individuals, regular physical activity of 150 minutes per week, blood pressure less than 130 systolic, diastolic less than 80, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol less than 100 mg/dl, HDL greater than 50 mg/dl, and triglycerides less than 150 mg/dl (ADA, 2010c).

A referral to an educator who specializes in diabetes self-management education also is recommended. Diabetes self-management education is the ongoing process of facilitating the knowledge, skill, and ability necessary for patients to manage their own disease. Instructors may be RNs, dietitians, or pharmacologists who are certified in national standards for diabetes self-management (ADA, 2010a; Funnell et al., 2010).

Oncology nurses should be aware of potential issues with medications that may be used to treat diabetes. Metformin’s possible side effects include nausea, diarrhea, or vomiting, and may predispose patients with cancer and survivors, who are undergoing active treatment and also taking metformin, to sepsis, dehydration, or hypoxemia (Oyer et al., 2006). Metformin is contraindicated in renal failure and must be stopped for 48 hours for any iodine contrast dye studies and resumed once creatinine is normal (Oyer et al., 2006; UpToDate, 2010). All patients with diabetes who also have hypertension, including cancer survivors,
should be treated with an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB) (ADA, 2010c) because of their effectiveness in reducing the decline in kidney function compared to other blood pressure-lowering agents.

### Dyslipidemia

High cholesterol is a common comorbidity among cancer survivors and may be present prior to diagnosis since one in six Americans has high cholesterol (CDC, 2009). Prostate cancer survivors using ADT are at risk for unfavorable changes in their lipid profile because ADT has been implicated in total cholesterol increase (Saylor, Keating, & Smith, 2009). A study by Van den Belt-Dusebout et al. (2007) found an increased risk for cardiovascular disease among testicular cancer survivors who had been treated with radiation and chemotherapy. Cancer survivors who have been exposed to thoracic radiation also should have routine cholesterol screening as high cholesterol may contribute to the development of cardiovascular disease in this population, even among those who received doses of less than 30 Gy (Darby et al., 2010). High cholesterol, which is defined as a fasting cholesterol level greater than 240 mg/dl, places patients at high risk for heart disease and cardiovascular complications. Well-established general practice guidelines exist for the treatment of high cholesterol that also are applicable to cancer survivors. A diagnosis of hyperlipidemia is first established with the measurement of a fasting lipid panel (National Cholesterol Education Program [NCEP], 2002). LDL cholesterol is highly correlated with coronary heart disease and is considered the first target of therapy (NCEP, 2002). An LDL of 130–159 mg/dl is categorized as borderline high; an LDL of 160 mg/dl or greater is considered high (NCEP, 2002) (see Figure 3). For patients with borderline high cholesterol and no additional risk factors, therapeutic lifestyle changes (see Figure 4), such as increasing soluble fiber intake to 10–25 g per day and increased physical activity, should be initiated with reassessment of lipid profile after three months (NCEP, 2002). For patients with high LDL cholesterol and additional risk factors, such as hypertension, drug therapy in the form of HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) or bile acid sequestrants can be initiated along with lifestyle changes. Of note, if a patient presents with high triglycerides, the first goal should still be to lower LDL cholesterol. Once that goal is met, the triglyceride level should be lowered to 150 mg/dl or less (NCEP, 2002). Patients should be instructed to take statins in the evening and report any unusual side effects such as muscle pain (i.e., myalgias) at evaluation (NCEP, 2002).

#### Figure 3. Criteria for Diagnosis of Dyslipidemia


#### Figure 4. Recommendations for a Healthy Lifestyle

Note. Based on information from the American Cancer Society, 2010; American Diabetes Association, 2010a; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 2010a, 2010b; National Osteoporosis Foundation, 2008.

### Menopause

Menopause, although a natural transition for all women, may be a concerning comorbidity to cancer survivors because it can be brought on abruptly by therapies used to treat cancer (Boekhout, Beijnen, & Schellens, 2006). The natural transition generally occurs for perimenopause around age 47, with menopause following around age 51 (Weismiller, 2009). Menopause is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the cessation of menstruation for 12 months because of the loss of ovarian follicular activity (Utian, 2004). However, young female cancer survivors who have been treated with cytotoxic chemotherapies or with ovarian suppression modalities may find themselves postmenopausal without the benefit of a gradual transition. Menopause is associated with symptoms...
such as hot flashes and vaginal atrophy, which can cause considerable distress.

No clear evidence-based diagnostic criteria exist for menopause. Multiple guidelines are available for the treatment of menopause-related hot flashes; however, many of these recommend hormone replacement therapy, which is contraindicated in patients with a history of or at high risk for breast cancer (AACE, 2006; North American Menopause Society, 2010). Oncology nurses may find better results with first recommending behavioral strategies such as dressing in layers, avoiding alcohol intake, and lowering room temperatures (Boekhout et al., 2006). If additional therapies are needed, trials of nonhormonal-based therapies, such as venlafaxine or gabapentin, could be considered (Loprinzi et al., 2000; Pandya et al., 2005). For the treatment of vaginal epithelial changes, patients should be counseled on the use of over-the-counter vaginal moisturizers or lubricants. Patients who do not find relief or have more severe atrophy may find benefit from a topical estrogen preparation, with the caveat that these preparations may increase serum estradiol to an unacceptably high level for women with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer or women taking aromatase inhibitors (Ganz, 2005).

**Osteoporosis and Osteopenia**

Loss of bone density is a common comorbidity among cancer survivors, and the condition could affect both men and women. High-risk groups include breast cancer survivors induced into premature menopause or those taking aromatase inhibitors, prostate cancer survivors using ADT, and bone marrow transplantation survivors who underwent therapy with long-term glucocorticoids (Andriole, 2009; Ganz, 2006, 2009; Gnant et al., 2007; Stein, Ebeling, & Shane, 2007). When clinical suspicion is high for this condition, screening should proceed with a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) bone density scan (National Osteoporosis Foundation [NOF], 2008). A DEXA scan is a non-invasive test that measures bone density and provides results in terms of T scores, which are comparisons to “young, normal” adults of the same sex (NOF, 2008). Osteopenia is considered a precursor to osteoporosis and is defined as a T score between –1 and –2.5 (Alexander, 2009; NOF, 2008). Osteoporosis is diagnosed at a T score below –2.5 (NOF, 2008). Guidelines have been established by the NOF for medical treatment for osteoporosis (see Figure 5), but the treatment for osteopenia is not well established. To assist with treatment decisions, the WHO developed the Fracture Risk Algorithm (FRAX) (available at www.sheffield.ac.uk/FRAX) that assesses the 10-year hip fracture risk for patients who are medication naïve (NOF, 2008). Limitations exist for the FRAX assessment, and it should be considered one part of the decision-making process when considering medical treatment for osteopenia (Alexander, 2009).

All individuals should be counseled on the importance of a daily intake of calcium, with 1,000 mg per day recommended as the minimum daily requirement. That amount increases to 1,200 mg for individuals older than 50 (National Institutes of Health Office of Dietary Supplements [NIHODS], 2009). Regular weight-bearing exercise, smoking cessation, and reduction of alcohol intake also are important nonpharmacologic measures to prevent additional bone loss (NOF, 2008). Vitamin D has been established as necessary for calcium absorption and is an important contributor to bone health. Evidence is emerging that many people are at risk for deficiency, regardless of age or health status (Norman, Bouillon, Whiting, Vieth, & Lips, 2007). Prior to recommending supplementation over 400 IU per day, however, a serum vitamin D level should be drawn to establish a person’s need beyond the recommended daily allowance (Goodwin, 2009). Because the majority of osteoporosis-related fractures result from falls, nurses should evaluate risk factors for falls, including a personal history of falls, muscle weakness, gait and balance issues, visual deficits, and dehydration (NOF, 2008).

Women who have been diagnosed with osteoporosis may be treated with oral biphosphonates or they may benefit from a once-yearly administration of zoledronic acid at 5 mg via IV (NOF, 2008). Use of zoledronic acid has led to a reduction of vertebral fracture by 71% and a reduction in hip fractures by 41% (Black et al., 2007).
Hypertension

Hypertension is the most common reason for physician office visits for adults in the United States, and NHANES data report a 29%-31% incidence rate, which increases with age and obesity (NHBLI, 2010b).

Treatment with targeted cancer therapies that block angiogenesis may exacerbate hypertension with acute and long-term effects on the cardiac system (Albini et al., 2010; Yeh & Bickford, 2009). Although survivors may be on active or extended treatment, clinicians who provide care for patients treated with angiogenic inhibitors should be able to identify and address the risk of developing hypertension in addition to managing patients’ cancers (Izzedine et al., 2009).


Figure 6. Criteria for Diagnosis of Hypothyroidism
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Figure 7. Criteria for Diagnosis of Hypertension


Prehypertension

- Systolic: 120–139 mmHg
- Diastolic: 80–89 mmHg

Stage 1 Hypertension

- Systolic: greater than 140 mmHg
- Diastolic: greater than 90 mmHg

Stage 2 Hypertension

- Systolic: greater than 160 mmHg
- Diastolic: greater than 100 mmHg

Hypertension is the most common reason for physician office visits for adults in the United States, and NHANES data report a 29%-31% incidence rate, which increases with age and obesity (NHBLI, 2010b).

Treatment with targeted cancer therapies that block angiogenesis may exacerbate hypertension with acute and long-term effects on the cardiac system (Albini et al., 2010; Yeh & Bickford, 2009). Although survivors may be on active or extended treatment, clinicians who provide care for patients treated with angiogenic inhibitors should be able to identify and address the risk of developing hypertension in addition to managing patients’ cancers (Izzedine et al., 2009).

The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure defines normal, prehypertension, and hypertension stage 1 and 2 (NHBLI, 2010b) (see Figure 7). The benefits of blood pressure control is a 20%-25% reduction in major cardiovascular events (e.g., stroke, heart failure, myocardial infarction) (Domino & Kaplan, 2009), applicable whether patients are younger than 65 or aged 65 and older (Turnbull et al., 2008). Malignant hypertension is associated with diastolic pressure greater than 120 mmHg with retinal hemorrhages, exudates, papilledema, or encephopathy in previously normotensive patients. Hypertensive urgency is defined as diastolic blood pressure greater than 120 mmHg with no symptoms and no evidence of acute end-organ damage (Domino & Kaplan, 2009).

The pathogenesis in essential hypertension is unclear, but may be caused by increased sympathetic neural activity with enhanced beta-adrenergic responsiveness, increased angiotensin II activity, and mineral corticoid excess; family history and genetics; and environment, including sodium intake, alcohol, dyslipidemia, obesity, and drugs (Domino & Kaplan, 2009). Hypertension may be more common in patients with personality traits such as impatience (Domino & Kaplan, 2009). Secondary hypertension may be caused by renal disease, oral contraceptives, chronic nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, antidepressants, pheochromocytoma, primary hyperaldosteronism, renovascular disease, Cushing syndrome, thyroid disease, or sleep apnea (Domino & Kaplan, 2009). Proper measurement is essential and no difference has been noted if a bare arm or sleeved arm is used (Ma, Sabin, & Dawes, 2008). History and physical, electrocardiogram, complete blood count, urinalysis, routine chemistries (glucose, creatinine, electrolytes), total LDL and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and estimation of glomerular filtration rate should be conducted (NHBLI, 2010b).

General recommendations include lifestyle modifications during the first three months and then, if no improvement is noted, treatment with antihypertensive medications should commence. Three main classes of drugs are used for initial monotherapy: thiazide diuretics, long-acting calcium channel blockers, and ACE inhibitors or ARBs. Beta blockers are not used initially unless a specific indication is noted (i.e., a history of myocardial infarction). More than one agent is needed in some cases (e.g., an ACE or ARB with a thiazide diuretic) to obtain blood pressure levels below 140/90 or less than 130/80 if the patients is diabetic or has a history of cardiovascular disease.

Elevated TSH
- Low free T4 is diagnostic of hypothyroidism.

Normal TSH
- Until late 2002, normal TSH range was 0.5–5.5.
- After 2003, AACE set the normal TSH range at 0.3–3.

Subclinical Hypothyroidism
- Thyroid TSH levels of 4.5–10 mU/L (but normal free T4)

Overt Hypothyroidism
- TSH levels greater than 10 mU/L. These patients should be treated with medication.
- AACE—American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; TSH—thyroid-stimulating hormone

Normal
- Systolic: less than 120 mmHg
- Diastolic: less than 80 mmHg

Prehypertension
- Systolic: 120–139 mmHg
- Diastolic: 80–89 mmHg

Stage 1 Hypertension
- Systolic: 140–159 mmHg
- Diastolic: 90–99 mmHg

Stage 2 Hypertension
- Systolic: 160 mmHg or higher
- Diastolic: 100 mmHg or higher

2002). Evaluation of thyroid function is part of the workup for cancer-related fatigue (National Comprehensive Cancer Network [NCCN], 2010). Because thyroid dysfunction can develop several years after active treatment, patients should be screened for signs of hypothyroidism during every long-term follow-up visit (Smith et al., 2009). A diagnosis can be established with testing for thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) and free T4 levels (AACE, 2002). An elevated TSH accompanied by a low free T4 level is diagnostic criteria for hypothyroidism (AACE, 2002) (see Figure 6). Although most patients can be managed with Synthroid® (Abbott Laboratories), frequent follow-up is necessary to ensure patients reach proper TSH and free T4 levels. Individuals with preexisting cardiac or endocrine issues, suspicious thyroid physical examination findings, or who are pregnant should be referred to an endocrinologist for consultation and management (AACE, 2002). Nurse practitioners should be aware that Synthroid is one medicine that may need to be ordered as prescribed with no generic substitutions because bioequivalence is questionable (Gibaldi, 2005). Synthroid should be taken on an empty stomach without other medications or calcium-fortified orange juice for optimal absorption (Katzung, 2004).
Thiazide diuretics may provide a favorable effect on osteoporosis (NHLBI, 2010b).

**Evidence-Based Survivorship Care**

One of the recommendations from *From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition* (Hewitt et al., 2006) was for the development and use of evidence-based clinical guidelines for the management of the late effects of cancer and its treatment. Several sources are available for care following cancer treatment, including information from the American Society of Clinical Oncologists, the NCCN, specialty organizations such as the American Urological Association, and long-term follow-up of survivors of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, which outline prevention and screening organized by time after transplantation (Rizzo et al., 2006). However, most oncology practice guidelines are focused on surveillance for disease recurrence. Guidelines for screening for and managing comorbid conditions may be best found outside the realm of the oncology specialty. For example, Saylor et al. (2009) stated that evidence-based guidelines for prostate cancer survivors on ADT are lacking. However, the authors did include recommendations for screening for bone loss, diabetes, and increased lipids compiled from guidelines published by nationally recognized sources such as the NOF and the American Heart Association.

With the aging of the general population, the risk increases that patients will have cancer and cardiovascular disease; yet another consideration that should be taken into account when developing clinical trials and guidelines (Albini et al., 2010). Web sites for guidelines on ADT are lacking. The care plans that have been discussed are included in Figure 8 and resources for care plans are included in Figure 9. These may serve as a resource for oncology nurses in managing patients or survivors.

**Figure 8. Web Sites for Clinical Practice Guidelines**

- **Diabetes**

- **Dyslipidemia**

- **Hypertension**

- **Hypothyroidism**

- **Menopause**

- **Obesity**

- **Osteoporosis**

**Figure 9. Resources for Care Plans**

- **American Society of Clinical Oncologists**
  - [www.cancer.net/patient/Survivorship](http://www.cancer.net/patient/Survivorship)

- **Journey Forward**
  - [http://journeyforward.org](http://journeyforward.org)

- **Lance Armstrong Foundation and OncoLink**
  - [www.livestrongcareplan.org](http://www.livestrongcareplan.org)

- **OncoLink—OncoLife Survivorship Care Plan**
  - [www.oncolink.com/oncolife](http://www.oncolink.com/oncolife)

**Oncology Nurse Role**

Being diagnosed with cancer is a life-changing event. Oncology nurses may find that discussions pertaining to a patient’s cancer diagnosis are an opportunity for health and well-being education beyond the cancer diagnosis, including the identification of modifiable lifestyle choices that can prevent or lessen the burden of comorbid conditions (Ganz, 2005). Nurses are a trusted resource for patients during their active treatment and can continue that role during a survivor’s long-term follow-up care. *From Cancer Patient to Cancer Survivor: Lost in Transition* specifically called on oncology nurses to play a role in survivorship care (Hewitt et al., 2006). Oncology nurses need to understand and consider comorbidities during the acute, extended, and permanent seasons of survival (Mullan, 1985).

Education about comorbid conditions should begin with an assessment of the survivor’s readiness to learn, and the oncology nurse may find it necessary to frame the discussion in terms of cancer prevention if the survivor is not ready or willing to discuss health issues aside from recurrence. For example, a breast cancer survivor may be so fixated on surveillance for recurrence that she may not be willing to discuss weight gain. However, to call attention to other factors, nurses could frame the discussion to reflect, for example, the fact that increased weight places survivors at increased risk for recurrence.

A tool that can assist with opening the lines of communication between a nurse and a cancer survivor is a comprehensive treatment summary and care plan (Earle, 2006). The treatment summary portion of the document details the history of the survivor’s cancer therapy, including treatment exposures (e.g., chemotherapy, radiation, surgery), dates of treatment, and responses to treatment (Hewitt et al., 2006). The care plan provides a road map for follow-up care that also includes specific recommendations for cancer surveillance, preventive practices, and noncancer health care (Earle, 2006; Hewitt et al., 2006). The survivor should be encouraged to share this important document with his or her primary care provider and other specialists involved in care (Hewitt et al., 2006).

The authors hope this review of comorbidities will strengthen oncology nurses’ ability to see the survivor as a whole person beyond the cancer diagnosis, and enable them to encourage cancer survivors to live well.

**Author Contact:** Amy Edgington, RN, NP-BC, can be reached at aedgington@mednet.ucla.edu, with copy to editor at CJONEditor@ons.org.
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**For Further Exploration**

**Use This Article in Your Next Journal Club**

Journal club programs can help to increase your ability to evaluate the literature and translate those research findings to clinical practice, education, administration, and research. Use the following questions to start the discussion at your next journal club meeting.

1. What is the question the author is trying to address?
2. Is the purpose of the article described clearly?
3. Is the literature review comprehensive and are major concepts identified and defined?
4. How many of your patients also have diabetes, high cholesterol, hypertension, hypothyroidism, osteoporosis, and/or obesity?
5. How do you address these issues in your practice?
6. How do you use your patients’ experiences with other chronic illnesses in adapting to their cancer care?
7. Are these chronic illnesses managed in your practice or does the primary care provider manage them?
8. If the primary care provider manages them, how is this care shared between providers?
9. What practice change recommendations might you consider based on the evidence presented in this article?

Visit [www.ons.org/Publications/VJC](http://www.ons.org/Publications/VJC) for details on creating and participating in a journal club. Photocopying of this article for discussion purposes is permitted.

**New! Listen to a Discussion of This Article**

With the simple click of your computer mouse, listen as *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing* Editor Deborah K. Mayer, PhD, RN, AOCN®, FAAN, interviews Amy Edgington, RN, NP-BC, and Mary Ann Morgan, PhD, FNP-BC, about looking beyond cancer recurrence in survivors and focusing on other aspects of health that this population may be neglecting.

To listen to or download the podcast, visit [www.ons.org/Publications/CJON/Features/CJONPlus](http://www.ons.org/Publications/CJON/Features/CJONPlus).