Loss of fertility is one of the many potential late effects of cancer treatment, and for young men and women, this can be a source of considerable emotional distress (Crawshaw & Sloper, 2010; Goossens et al., 2014; Peate, Meiser, Hickey, & Friedlander, 2009; Schover, Brey, Lichtin, Lipshultz, & Jeha, 2002; Tschudin & Bitzer, 2009). Recognizing the significance of this concern, a number of professional organizations have published guidelines outlining the responsibility of healthcare providers to inform patients of the potential risks to fertility from their planned treatment, discuss options to preserve fertility before treatment, and refer interested patients to appropriate reproductive specialists (American Society for Reproductive Medicine [ASRM], 2013a; Coccia et al., 2014; Fallat & Hutter, 2008; Lee et al., 2006; Loren et al., 2013; Pentheroudakis, Orecchia, Hoekstra, & Pavlidis, 2010). This article provides oncology nurses with information, strategies, and resources to effectively integrate these discussions into practice.

Fertility Risks

Multiple factors contribute to the risk of infertility after cancer treatment, so predicting with certainty how any one individual will be affected is impossible. Quantifying the risks of specific antineoplastic agents is particularly challenging because most are used in combination, doses vary based on regimen, and the number of new agents—including targeted therapies—is increasing, with minimal long-term data on fertility outcomes. Alkylating agents pose the highest risk of infertility, and platinum analogs, anthracyclines, and taxanes pose an intermediate risk (Ben-Aharon & Shalgi, 2012; Blumenfeld, 2012; Howell & Shalet, 2005; Meirov, Biederman, Anderson, & Wallace, 2010; Meistrich, 2009; Yamaguchi & Fujisawa, 2011).

Fertility Risks for Men

In men, chemotherapy, as well as exposure of the testes to radiation, can destroy spermatogonial germ cells with subsequent
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impairment of sperm production (Meistrich, 2009). Many men will recover sperm production within one to three years after treatment is completed, but some will require more time, and some may have permanent azoospermia, or absence of sperm (Howell & Shalet, 2005; Meistrich, 2009). Pelvic surgery or radiation may cause injury to the genitourinary ductal system, nerves, and blood vessels, with subsequent erectile or ejaculatory dysfunction and an inability to deliver sperm naturally to a female partner through intercourse (Magelssen, Brydoy, & Fosså, 2006). Cranial irradiation or surgery may cause injury to the pituitary gland, impairing hormonal regulation of spermatogenesis (Wang, Muller, & Lin, 2013).

Fertility Risks for Women

In women, chemotherapy, as well as exposure of the ovaries to radiation, can destroy follicles (each containing a single oocyte or egg), causing premature ovarian failure, with subsequent infertility and early menopause (Meirrow et al., 2010; Stroud et al., 2009). Many of these women will not lose fertility immediately after treatment but may become infertile at an early age (Meirrow et al., 2010). Women lose eggs naturally over time, so older women are at increased risk of infertility from treatment (Meirrow et al., 2010). After bilateral oophorectomy, women will develop immediate infertility and menopause, and after hysterectomy, women will not be able to carry a pregnancy (Gershenson, 2005). Radiation exposure of the uterus will cause fibrotic changes, leading to endometrial damage, vascular insufficiency, and loss of myometrial elasticity, with subsequent inability to support embryo implantation or accommodate a growing fetus (Critchley & Wallace, 2005). Women who become pregnant after pelvic radiation are at risk of miscarriage, preterm birth, and having a baby with a low birth weight (Teh, Stern, Chander, & Hickey, 2014). Cranial irradiation or surgery may cause injury to the pituitary gland, impairing hormonal regulation of oocyte maturation and ovulation (Kort, Eisenberg, Millheiser, & Westphal, 2014).

Fertility Preservation Before Cancer Treatment

With advances in reproductive technology, options for preserving fertility are increasing. Not all patients will desire or be able to pursue fertility preservation (FP); however, for those who are interested, this must be completed before treatment begins because even a single treatment with therapy that is damaging to the testes or ovaries can affect the quality and DNA integrity of sperm and eggs (Lee et al., 2006).

Options for Men

For postpubertal males, sperm banking is the optimal method of preserving fertility potential (Trost & Brannigan, 2012). Men masturbate to ejaculation to obtain a semen specimen. This is analyzed at a licensed laboratory in a sperm bank or andrology laboratory to ensure that viable sperm are present, and the semen is placed in vials, frozen, and stored for potential future use (Katz, Kolon, Feldman, & Mulhall, 2013). Most men collect their specimens at a sperm bank, but mail-in kits are available for men to collect at home. Even hospitalized men can collect a specimen if arrangements can be made to transport it to the laboratory within one hour.

Collection of three specimens, scheduled with two to five days of abstinence before each, generally is recommended (Nangia, Krieg, & Kim, 2013). If not enough time is available for this before treatment must begin, then shorter intervals (e.g., every 24 hours) or collection of only a single specimen can be recommended because new reproductive technologies enable fertilization of eggs even with very low numbers of sperm (Nangia et al., 2013).

For postpubertal males who cannot collect by masturbation (e.g., pain, shortness of breath, emotional distress, embarrassment, religious prohibitions, cultural factors), electroejaculation is an option (Katz et al., 2013). For those who have no sperm found in their semen (e.g., effect of malignancy, prior vasectomy), testicular sperm extraction is an option (Stahl, Stember, Hsiao, & Schlegel, 2010). For prepubertal boys who do

![Sperm Banking (Freezing of Sperm)]

- **Ejaculation**: Specimen obtained by masturbation
- **Electroejaculation**: A mild electrical current is emitted from a rectal probe positioned over the prostate gland to stimulate ejaculation (performed by a reproductive urologist under anesthesia).
- **Testicular sperm extraction**: Small pieces of testicular tissue are removed by biopsy or aspiration and examined for sperm to be extracted directly from the tubules (performed by a reproductive urologist under anesthesia).
- **Clinical considerations**
  - **Postpubertal males**
  - Technique for future use is based on sperm count, sperm motility, and the number of vials (intrauterine insemination or in vitro fertilization with or without intracytoplasmic sperm injection).
  - National success rates are not available.

![Testicular Tissue Freezing]

Small pieces of testicular tissue are removed by biopsy (performed by a reproductive urologist under anesthesia).

- **Clinical considerations**
  - **Postpubertal males**
  - Experimental (requiring an institutional review board protocol)
  - Technique for future use could be reimplantation of tissue or in vitro maturation of stem cells.
  - No children have been born from use of frozen testicular tissue yet.

![Testicular Shielding]

A clam shell–like device is positioned around the scrotal sac each day of treatment to reduce testicular exposure to radiation.

- **Clinical considerations**
  - **Postpubertal or prepubertal males receiving pelvic or inguinal radiations**
  - Intensity-modulated radiation therapy also can be used to precisely target the beam of radiation, reducing testicular exposure.
  - Sperm banking still should be offered before treatment for postpubertal males who want a biologic child in the future.

**FIGURE 1. Fertility Preservation Options for Men**

| Note: Based on information from Hood et al., 2012; Katz et al., 2013; Nangia et al., 2013; Stahl et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wyns et al., 2010. |
Embryo Freezing
Embryos created by in vitro fertilization after a cycle of ovarian stimulation and transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs
- Clinical considerations
  - Postpubertal, premenopausal females
  - Technique for future use: Thawed and transferred to uterus
- Forty-four percent of thawed embryo transfers result in a live birth in those aged younger than 35 years; success decreases with increased age.

Egg Freezing
Eggs obtained after a cycle of ovarian stimulation and transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs
- Clinical considerations
  - Postpubertal, premenopausal females
  - Technique for future use: Eggs are thawed and fertilized with sperm to create embryos, which are transferred to the uterus.

Ovarian Tissue Freezing
Ovarian cortex obtained by unilateral or partial oophorectomy
- Clinical considerations
  - Prepubertal females or postpubertal, premenopausal females unable to undergo embryo or egg freezing
  - Experimental (requiring an institutional review board protocol)
  - Technique for future use could be reimplantation of tissue or in vitro maturation of primordial follicles, but reseeding of cancer cells with reimplantation in patients who may have ovarian disease is a concern.
  - About 60 children have been born worldwide after reimplantation.

Ovarian Transposition
Repositioning of the ovaries outside of the radiation treatment field
- Clinical considerations
  - Prepubertal females or postpubertal, premenopausal females receiving pelvic or inguinal radiations
  - If fallopian tubes are dissected from the uterus, the patient cannot conceive naturally.
  - Embryo or egg freezing still should be offered before treatment for postpubertal females who want a biologic child in the future.

Ovarian Suppression
Administration of GnRH agonist (e.g., leuprolide [Lupron®]) during chemotherapy to suppress recruitment and maturation of follicles
- Clinical considerations
  - Postpubertal, premenopausal females
  - Experimental (off-label use)

Alternative Treatment for Early-Stage Gynecologic Cancers
- Cervical cancer: Radical trachelectomy instead of hysterectomy
- Ovarian cancer: Unilateral instead of bilateral oophorectomy
- Endometrial cancer: Progestin therapy instead of hysterectomy
- Clinical considerations
  - Postpubertal, premenopausal females
  - Only appropriate for select patients with early-stage disease

FIGURE 2. Fertility Preservation Options for Women
Note. Based on information from American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2013b; Del Mastro et al., 2014; Dolmans et al., 2013; Donnez & Dolmans, 2015; Knopman & Noyes, 2012; Kondapalli, 2012; Kort et al., 2014; Lange et al., 2013; Society of Assisted Reproductive Technology, 2015.

not yet produce sperm, testicular tissue freezing is available at select centers as an experimental option (Ginsberg et al., 2010). FP options for males are described in Figure 1.

Options for Women
For postpubertal, premenopausal females, embryo freezing by a reproductive endocrinologist has been the optimal method of preserving fertility potential (ASRM, 2013a; Lee et al., 2006; Loren et al., 2013). This requires about 10 days of ovarian stimulation with hormonal medications self-injected daily by the patient, followed by transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs performed under anesthesia (Rodriguez-Wallberg & Oktay, 2012). The eggs are fertilized with sperm in the laboratory (in vitro fertilization), and the resulting embryos are frozen and stored for potential future use.

Until 2012, women without a male partner who did not want to use donor sperm to create embryos and women who did not want to freeze embryos for religious or personal reasons could not avail themselves of this option. However, in young women treated at centers experienced in egg-freezing techniques, success rates using frozen eggs are similar to those with frozen embryos, so freezing of unfertilized eggs is no longer considered to be experimental (ASRM, 2013b).

One concern with embryo and egg freezing is that treatment must be delayed until after egg retrieval. Various protocols have been developed to allow for stimulation to begin at any point in the menstrual cycle (Cakmak & Rosen, 2013), so the entire process generally can be completed in two to three weeks. Another concern is that ovarian stimulation causes elevated estrogen; this can be minimized with concurrent letrozole (Femara®) in women with hormone-sensitive tumors (Reddy & Oktay, 2012).

For females who cannot take the two to three weeks required to undergo egg or embryo freezing or those who are prepubertal and do not yet have mature eggs to be collected, ovarian tissue freezing may be available at select centers as an experimental option. The ovary (or pieces of ovarian tissue) is removed, and the patient, followed by transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs of preserving fertility potential (ASRM, 2013a; Lee et al., 2006; Loren et al., 2013). This requires about 10 days of ovarian stimulation with hormonal medications self-injected daily by the patient, followed by transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs performed under anesthesia (Rodriguez-Wallberg & Oktay, 2012). The eggs are fertilized with sperm in the laboratory (in vitro fertilization), and the resulting embryos are frozen and stored for potential future use.

Until 2012, women without a male partner who did not want to use donor sperm to create embryos and women who did not want to freeze embryos for religious or personal reasons could not avail themselves of this option. However, in young women treated at centers experienced in egg-freezing techniques, success rates using frozen eggs are similar to those with frozen embryos, so freezing of unfertilized eggs is no longer considered to be experimental (ASRM, 2013b).

One concern with embryo and egg freezing is that treatment must be delayed until after egg retrieval. Various protocols have been developed to allow for stimulation to begin at any point in the menstrual cycle (Cakmak & Rosen, 2013), so the entire process generally can be completed in two to three weeks. Another concern is that ovarian stimulation causes elevated estrogen; this can be minimized with concurrent letrozole (Femara®) in women with hormone-sensitive tumors (Reddy & Oktay, 2012).

For females who cannot take the two to three weeks required to undergo egg or embryo freezing or those who are prepubertal and do not yet have mature eggs to be collected, ovarian tissue freezing may be available at select centers as an experimental option. The ovary (or pieces of ovarian tissue) is removed, and the patient, followed by transvaginal retrieval of mature eggs

Clinical Implications
Clinicians cannot assume that patients will ask about fertility risks and FP options if they are interested. They may be upset and overwhelmed by their cancer diagnosis, or it may not have occurred to them that the planned treatment could pose a risk of infertility. Initiating the discussion is the clinician’s responsibility (Loren et al., 2013); however, communicating with newly diagnosed men and women about these issues can be challenging. Barriers reported by clinicians include lack of knowledge, personal discomfort, time pressures, perception that patients aren’t interested, financial costs, need for immediate treatment, and poor prognosis (Goossens et al., 2014). Recognizing that the goal is for patients to have options in how they become parents after treatment, Parenthood After Cancer Treatment has served as a framework for the author in providing a structured systematic approach that can be applied in any setting.
P: Prepare for the Discussion

When thinking about how to initiate or improve discussions of fertility with patients, laying the groundwork is important. A number of factors need to be considered.

Consider how fertility issues are currently dealt with and identify gaps to be addressed. Consider assumptions or biases that unconsciously may lead to avoiding fertility discussions with select patients. Men and women with cancer want information about their risks and FP options regardless of age, relationship status, prior children, stage of disease, prognosis, or socioeconomic status (Goossens et al., 2014; Peate et al., 2009).

Identify local reproductive specialists who can provide information and services to individuals with cancer who are interested in pursuing FP or in learning more about their options. Figure 3 lists resources for finding reproductive specialists. Establish systems for ensuring timely referrals and coordinating care, and ask about costs and the availability of discounted rates, payment plans, or financial assistance.

The average cost of sperm banking is $1,000–$1,500 (Livestrong Foundation, 2013a), and the average cost of egg or embryo freezing is $11,900–$12,400 (Livestrong Foundation, 2013b), with an additional $3,000–$5,000 for medication. Annual fees also exist for storage. Figure 4 lists resources for financial assistance, generally obtained through the reproductive specialist.

Obtain written educational resources with information on cancer and fertility to reinforce and expand on the information to be discussed. Nurses can develop their own material, acquire it from local reproductive specialists, use brochures from other organizations, or provide a list of websites with relevant information. Figure 5 lists a number of resources to educate patients about cancer and fertility.

Finally, collaborate with oncologists and other healthcare providers on how to integrate this discussion into practice.

A: Assess Patient Understanding and Interest

No one way to start the conversation is best, but assessing understanding and interest will provide direction on how to proceed. Examples of questions to ask include:

Nurses working in the outpatient office practice setting with a single physician or team of physicians—where patients are seen at diagnosis and before the treatment plan is finalized—are in the optimal position to influence practice. With physicians who are resistant, point out that the updated American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)/Oncology Nursing Society chemotherapy administration safety standards (Neuss et al., 2013) require that patients be informed of fertility risks as part of the informed consent process. If they are unaware of FP options, draw attention to the updated ASCO guidelines (Loren et al., 2015) and associated resources that are available online. Nurses should identify groups of men and women within their practice who are most at risk for impaired fertility based on their age, diagnosis, and planned treatments. Decide when is best to introduce the issue, ensuring that this occurs early enough before treatment begins to allow patients adequate time to make decisions and pursue FP if interested. Decide on the roles each team member will play. The physician can introduce the risk of infertility as one of the many potential risks and side effects of treatment, and the nurse can discuss FP options and refer interested patients to an appropriate reproductive specialist. Patient navigators or social workers also may play a role in these discussions. Even in the inpatient setting or outpatient infusion setting, oncology nurses may identify men and women who have not yet started treatment and have concerns about fertility. Bringing this to the attention of the patient’s oncologist may enable patients to take advantage of FP before treatment begins.
• Has anyone discussed the possible effects of your planned treatment on your ability to have children in the future?
• Would you like information about the impact of treatment on your future fertility?
• Would you like information about possible options for preserving your fertility?
• Would you like information about your options for building a family in the future?

Patients have varying religious, cultural, and ethical beliefs about the significance of fertility and the use of assisted reproductive technology. Infertility is seen as a stigma in some communities, and some religious groups do not allow masturbation to obtain semen; use of donor eggs, donor sperm, or a gestational carrier for family building; or freezing of embryos. These issues will influence patients’ interest in pursuing fertility preservation (Ayensu-Coker, Essig, Breech, & Lindheim, 2013).

C: Consider the Patient’s Disease and Planned Treatment and the Safety of Fertility Preservation

Information provided should be individualized, considering the patient’s risk of infertility, safety of delaying treatment, and medical risks associated with the invasive procedures that may be required.

As mentioned previously, the risk of infertility for any one individual cannot be predicted with certainty; however, particular treatment regimens are associated with known risks. In addition to searching in PubMed for updated data on drug-specific risks of infertility, resources listed in Figure 6 can help determine patient-specific risks. Consider not only the initial treatment that is planned, but also future treatment the patient may receive (e.g., postoperative chemotherapy, transplantation, second-line therapy in patients at high risk for relapse or refractory disease). Some patients will want to pursue FP even if the planned treatment is associated with a very low risk of infertility.

Early referrals to reproductive specialists can minimize delays in starting treatment and enable more patients to take advantage of optimal FP methods (i.e., sperm banking with three collections [7–10 days] or ovarian stimulation with egg or embryo freezing [two to three weeks]). However, for those who cannot delay, other options, as described previously, may be more appropriate to offer.

Potential medical risks associated with the procedures required for FP are of particular concern for women, for whom the process is more invasive. Potential risks include (a) bleeding with egg retrieval in patients with thrombocytopenia or liver dysfunction, (b) accidental tumor puncture with bleeding if the patient has a large vascular pelvic mass, (c) infection in patients with neutropenia, and (d) respiratory complications with anesthesia in patients with bulky chest disease or superior vena cava syndrome (ASRM, 2013a; Cakmak & Rosen, 2013; Chung et al., 2013; Noyes et al., 2013). Collaborate with the patient’s treating oncologist in considering all of these issues to ensure that patients are offered appropriate options based on their personal situation. If referrals are made, communicate all relevant information to the reproductive specialist to ensure patient safety.

T: Teach About Risks and Options

Explain how treatment may affect fertility. When first learning of this risk, many patients react with significant emotional distress. Nevertheless, be direct, honest, and matter of fact, while acknowledging how upsetting it can be to hear this information. Describe the options available to them to preserve fertility, and elicit their thoughts on how they would like to proceed.

Many female patients experience decisional conflict when considering FP with egg or embryo freezing (Mersereau et al., 2013). Influencing factors include (a) the importance of having a biologic child (versus their acceptance of alternative options for building a family); (b) concerns about the safety of ovarian stimulation and future pregnancy; (c) willingness to use assisted reproductive technology; (d) the likelihood of success, particularly for older women or those with preexisting reproductive health problems; (e) religious, cultural, and ethical beliefs about family building; (f) the degree of emotional distress they are experiencing; and (g) perceived support from their partner, family, and clinicians (Halliday & Boughton, 2011; Hershberger,
implications for practice

▶ Collaborate with oncologists and other member of the healthcare team to identify patients at risk, determine when to introduce the discussion, and delineate the roles each team member will play in discussing risks and options and in making referrals.

▶ Educate patients about their risks and options and refer interested patients to appropriate reproductive specialists; early referrals minimize treatment delays.

▶ Provide written patient education information to reinforce the information discussed.
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For Further Exploration

**Use This Article in Your Next Journal Club**

Journal club programs can help to increase your ability to evaluate the literature and translate those research findings to clinical practice, education, administration, and research. Use the following questions to start the discussion at your next journal club meeting.

1. What are the key points to include in discussing the option of sperm banking with young male patients?
2. What are the key points to include in discussing the option of egg or embryo freezing with a young female patient?
3. What are two strategies you can use in your own practice to improve how patients are informed of their fertility risks and fertility preservation options?
4. Who are the reproductive specialists (sperm banks and reproductive endocrinologists) to whom you can refer patients who are interested in learning more about or pursuing fertility preservation?

Visit http://bit.ly/1vUqbVj for details on creating and participating in a journal club. Photocopying of this article for discussion purposes is permitted.