JOURNAL CLUB

The Effects of Exercise on Symptoms of Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy in Cancer Survivors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Usa Khemthong, PhD, RN, Samah Hawsawi, PhD, RN, and Joanne Kraenzle Schneider, PhD, RN, FAAN

PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION: Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) can cause treatment delays or discontinuation. Exercise can improve CIPN, but the effects have been inconsistent.

LITERATURE SEARCH: 12 databases and 5 websites were searched from database inception to December 22, 2023, for primary studies that were reported in English and examined the effects of exercise on CIPN in cancer survivors.

DATA EVALUATION: 20 studies ($N = 1,308$ total participants) were identified and reviewed.

SYNTHESIS: Using a random-effects model, exercise slightly improved symptoms of CIPN (Hedges's $g =$ 0.28, Hartung-Knapp adjusted 95% confidence interval $[0.12, 0.45]$, $p = 0.002$). The 95% prediction interval showed that the true effect size of future studies would likely range from –0.1 to 0.66. Frequency of performing exercise moderated the effect size, further improving symptoms.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: Nurses can encourage cancer survivors to engage in exercise, such as resistance training, aerobic exercise, balance training, and/or yoga. Nurses can refer cancer survivors to trained exercise specialists or provide information about finding a community exercise program for patients with cancer.

KEYWORDS chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; cancer survivors; exercise ONF, 51(5), 426–444. DOI 10.1188/24.ONF.426-444

treat cancer (A *hemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy* (CIPN) is defined as a set of symptoms caused by damage to the peripheral nerves from chemotherapy or other drugs that are used to treat cancer (American Cancer Society, 2024). CIPN manifests with a variety of symptoms and is characterized more by sensory symptoms than by motor function symptoms. Sensory symptoms include allodynia, cold-induced neuropathy, loss of heat sensitivity, paresthesia, dysesthesia, numbness, tingling, and neuropathic pain (Han & Smith, 2013; Zajączkowska et al., 2019). These CIPN symptoms can become severe and cause treatment delays, dose reduction, or treatment discontinuation, which affect treatment outcomes.

CIPN is commonly found in patients who received neurotoxic chemotherapy agents, including taxanes, platinum compounds, vinca alkaloids, and bortezomib (Loprinzi et al., 2020; Zajączkowska et al., 2019). These neurotoxic agents have various mechanisms that cause CIPN, but the main target of neurotoxic drugs is the neurons (Carozzi et al., 2015; Zajączkowska et al., 2019). Platinum compounds usually damage the dorsal root ganglion, leading to apoptosis in the sensory neuron and altering mitochondrial function, resulting in pathologic function in neuronal and glial cells with membrane excitability (Carozzi et al., 2015; Zajączkowska et al., 2019). Taxanes interrupt microtubule function, impair axonal transport, alter the activity of ion channels, and result in hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons (Zajączkowska et al., 2019). Vinca alkaloids bind β-tubulin, leading to severe alteration in axonal microtubules, swollen axons, and damaged nerve fibers (Carozzi et al., 2015). Neurotoxic agents also alter cellular levels.

The incidence of CIPN depends on several risk factors, including the class of chemotherapy agents, dose per cycle, infusion duration, cumulative dose, comorbidities, and genetic susceptibility (Argyriou et al., 2014). The incidence varies from 11% to 87% in taxanes and from 49% to 100% in platinum compounds, and the incidence is about 60% in vinca alkaloids (Argyriou et al., 2014; Zajączkowska et al., 2019). The prevalence of CIPN varies from 19% to more than 85% of patients receiving any kind of neurotoxic agents (Zajączkowska et al., 2019). Platinum compounds, particularly oxaliplatin, are the most neurotoxic, causing the highest prevalence of CIPN in about 70% of patients (Burgess et al., 2021). CIPN can occur after chemotherapy initiation and persist for months or years after discontinuing treatment. About 31%– 44% of patients treated with docetaxel or paclitaxel reported CIPN symptoms after six years (Burgess et al., 2021). Therefore, long-term CIPN symptoms interfere with the health of cancer survivors.

No medication has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to treat or prevent CIPN symptoms (Loprinzi et al., 2020). However, the American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines suggest that clinicians may prescribe duloxetine orally for cancer survivors with painful CIPN who have completed chemotherapy treatments, but the benefit is limited (Loprinzi et al., 2020). Of note, this guideline does not specify the dose of duloxetine for these individuals (Loprinzi et al., 2020). Duloxetine is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to treat chronic musculoskeletal pain, diabetic neuropathy pain, major depressive disorder, and generalized anxiety disorder (Eli Lilly and Company, 2010).

Several experimental studies revealed that exercise improved CIPN symptoms, such as abnormal sensitivity to touch and peripheral neuropathy pain (Chen et al., 2020; McCrary et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2022). Exercise, a subtype of physical activity, aims to improve or maintain physical fitness through planned, structured, and repetitive body movements (Caspersen et al., 1985). However, the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms have been inconsistent across primary studies. The American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines also state that there is insufficient evidence to recommend exercise to prevent or treat CIPN (Loprinzi et al., 2020). Although preliminary evidence suggests a potential benefit from exercise on CIPN symptoms, a comprehensive meta-analysis is needed to synthesize all relevant studies on the effect of exercise on CIPN to support clinical practice and guide future research.

To the authors' knowledge, six meta-analyses, with few primary studies, have examined the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms, but the findings were controversial. Five of these studies showed that an exercise intervention significantly improved CIPN symptoms; however, the findings were based on only two to five studies (Brownson-Smith et al., 2023; Crichton et al., 2022; de Arenas-Arroyo et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2021; Streckmann et al., 2022). In contrast, another study reported that exercise effects were insignificant in improving neuropathy symptoms in cancer survivors, but only four primary studies were included (Guo et al., 2023). With the current meta-analysis, the authors aimed to evaluate the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms in cancer survivors. In addition, the moderating effects of source characteristics, participant features, methods (including quality indicators), and intervention components were examined.

Methods

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) checklist guidelines were used as an outline in reporting this study (Liberati et al., 2009). This study did not require institutional review board approval because human subject data were not used.

Literature Search and Selection Criteria

A comprehensive search was conducted in the following 12 electronic databases from their inception dates to December 22, 2023: CINAHL® Plus, PubMed® , Scopus® , Ovid/MEDLINE® , Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PsycINFO® , ProQuest, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SPORTDiscus, EBSCOhost, and ClinicalKey. A search was also performed on five websites: https://clinicaltrials.gov, www.asco.org, www.nccn.org, https://scholar.google.com, and www .ons.org. The search was limited to English-language reports. The first author (U.K.) screened possible studies by looking at relevant titles and abstracts. The first (U.K.) and second (S.H.) authors then independently screened studies for eligibility based on the inclusion criteria. The reference lists of the potential studies, review articles, and the previously conducted meta-analyses were also screened. Studies published in peer-reviewed journals and unpublished studies (e.g., dissertations) were both searched to reduce publication bias.

An experienced nursing reference librarian was consulted to conduct a systematic search. Broad search terms were used for all databases, including *cancer survivors* OR *cancer patient* OR *cancer** AND *chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity* OR *taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy* OR *CIPN* OR *peripheral neuropathy* AND *exercise* OR *physical activity* OR *resistance training* OR *muscle stretching exercise* OR *aerobic exercise* OR *exercise movement techniques* OR *balance exercise* OR *exercise tolerance*. These search terms were used to search all databases. To enhance the comprehensiveness of the search, CINAHL Subject Headings and PubMed Medical Subject Headings were exploded.

Inclusion criteria for primary studies were as follows: (a) examined any exercise intervention and had a comparison group with at least five participants per group, (b) included participants who were aged 18 years or older with any type of cancer who were receiving or had received chemotherapy, (c) measured CIPN symptoms quantitatively, and (d) reported in English. Quasiexperimental studies and randomized trials were included, with comparison groups that differed only by exercise intervention.

Exclusion criteria for studies were as follows: (a) examined exercise for other types of neuropathies (e.g., diabetic neuropathy), (b) qualitative studies, (c) review articles (e.g., systematic review or meta-analysis), or (d) reported insufficient data for calculating the effect size after emailing the primary study's corresponding author twice.

Data Coding

All eligible studies were reviewed, and a codebook was developed with clear coding descriptions for items in the following five categories: source, methods, intervention features, participant characteristics, and outcome data to calculate the effect size. The codebook was circulated to the research team for feedback, and it was then refined based on the research team's suggestions. The codebook was pilot tested with five studies before the formal coding implementation to ensure that coding rules were clear and understandable.

Source characteristics were coded to include the exercise intervention, target population, age eligibility (aged 18 years or older), minimum sample size of at least five people per group, and availability of comparison groups ("yes"/"no" for all). Publication year, funding, and countries where the studies were conducted were also coded. Methods were coded to include the setting from which participants were recruited and study quality indicators, including study design (i.e., randomized or quasiexperimental), type of comparison group (i.e., control or attention-control group), sampling strategy (i.e., random or convenience), group assignment (i.e., randomized or nonrandomized), concealed allocation ("yes"/"no"), data collectors blinded ("yes"/"no"), analysis methods (i.e., intention-to-treat, as-treated, or per-protocol analyses), exercise fidelity measured ("yes"/"no"), a priori power analysis estimation ("yes"/"no"), and whether demographic characteristics were compared at baseline and if they were equivalent ("yes"/"no").

Intervention features were coded, including counters or self-monitoring, telephone call follow-ups, and incentives. Length in days and weeks across the intervention as originally planned; the total planned number of structured intervention sessions; and session duration in minutes, including supervised and unsupervised exercise, minutes to perform exercise per week, number of days to perform exercise per week (frequency), prescribed level of exercise intensity ("yes"/"no"), supervision of exercise (e.g., supervised, unsupervised, mixed), exercise format (e.g., individual, group/family), mode of exercise (e.g., live, virtual, telephone), exercise types (e.g., aerobic, resistance, stretching, balance, yoga), and timing of exercise intervention with treatment (e.g., before, during, or after chemotherapy), were coded.

Participant information was coded to include sample sizes at assignment and at data analysis, dropout numbers, attrition rates, number of male and female participants and their race (e.g., White, American African, Asian), cancer sites, and chemotherapy agents. The means and SDs of participants' ages were recorded. For the CIPN symptom outcome, means, SDs, and sample sizes at baseline and at analysis were recorded. If researchers did not report mean and SD, other statistical values that may be used to calculate the effect size were coded. In the case of missing statistics needed for computing the effect size or unclear information, the first author (U.K.) sent an email requesting the missing data or asking for clarification about unclear information to the primary study's corresponding author. If a response was not received after two emails, the study was excluded.

Because the study aimed to understand the longterm effects of exercise, data were recorded from the last time point. The effect direction of the exercise was determined by comparing post-test CIPN scores of the exercise groups with the comparison groups. Because researchers used different measures reflecting different directions, studies were coded so that improved CIPN symptoms were reflected as a positive direction. Some primary researchers included two treatment groups and one control group. In this case, the control sample was halved to provide a comparison group for both exercise groups while not counting any control participants twice (Borenstein et al., 2021).

Two trained coders, who had taken a comprehensive meta-analysis course, coded the data independently to ensure consistency and accuracy. All data were compared across coders. If there were any discrepancies, the third author (J.K.S.) resolved the disagreement. The two coders independently entered their data into REDCap, version 12.5.4. The datasets were then exported to IBM SPSS Statistics, version 27.0, and compared for errors. The coders corrected their errors and compared again until all errors were corrected.

Quality Assessment and Data Analysis

Study quality was assessed by coding the method characteristics as suggested by Conn and Rantz (2003). Quality indicators consisted of group assignment method, group equivalence, concealed allocation, data collector blinded, a priori power estimation, intervention fidelity examined, attrition, and intention-to-treat analysis. Study quality indicators were examined as moderators.

The study characteristics were described using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 27.0. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software, version 4.0, was used for the meta-analysis. A random-effects model was used because the primary studies were heterogeneous in terms of a variety of cancer sites and variations of exercise intervention components. In a random-effects model, each primary study is weighted by the inverse of its variance, which is the sum of the within- plus between-studies variances (Borenstein et al., 2021). A standardized mean difference (Cohen's d) was calculated for each study using the independent post-test groups with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Because Cohen's d has a slight overestimation bias, Hedges's g was used to adjust for this overestimation (Borenstein et al., 2021; Cooper et al., 2019). Standardized mean differences were used because researchers measured CIPN symptoms using a variety of scales with different metrics; standardization allows comparisons across metrics (Cooper et al., 2019). In addition, because some research teams used multiple measures for symptoms, Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software, version 4.0, was used to compute a combined effect for those studies.

The Knapp-Hartung adjustment was employed because of the small number of studies. This adjustment does not adjust the effect size point estimates but modifies the standard error of the mean and uses the T distribution when computing 95% CIs (Borenstein et al., 2021). Therefore, it yields wider 95% CIs, which is more accurate compared to the conventional method of using the Z distribution for this computation (Borenstein et al., 2021).

An outlier effect size was identified by inspecting the forest plot, which displayed the effect sizes of the individual primary studies. The effect of the outlier was examined using a sensitivity analysis to enhance the consistency of the results (Borenstein et al., 2021). Heterogeneity was further investigated by computing the Q statistic, or weighted sum of squares, which reflects the total dispersion. A significant Q statistic likely reflects varying true effects across studies (Borenstein et al., 2021). However, with a nonsignificant Q statistic, it cannot be concluded that the true effect sizes do not vary across studies. This latter case might be because of the low power from a small number of studies (Borenstein et al., 2021). In addition, the 95% prediction interval was computed, which reflects the distribution of true effect sizes across studies (Borenstein et al., 2021).

CIPN symptoms are typically worse while receiving chemotherapy and gradually improve after treatment cessation for most patients (Burgess et al., 2021). Therefore, spontaneous recovery was examined by comparing pre- and post-test scores across exercise and control groups separately. Improvement across the control groups would likely suggest a spontaneous recovery across the control groups. Because pre- and post-test scores are likely correlated (Conn et al., 2009), these single-group analyses were conducted under two different assumptions: correlated $(r = 0.8)$ and uncorrelated $(r = 0.0)$ (Conn et al., 2009).

To address heterogeneity, moderator analyses were conducted to illustrate how the effect size varied as a function of source, methods, intervention, and participant characteristics. For subgroup analysis, a meta-analytic analog of analysis of variance was used for categorical moderator analyses when there were at least four comparisons per group for each categorical moderator to produce meaningful findings (Fu et al., 2010). Meta-regression analysis was used for continuous moderators when there were at least 10 comparisons to enhance the meaningfulness of the analysis (Borenstein et al., 2021).

Risk of Publication Bias

A funnel plot was generated to display the relationship between effect sizes on the horizontal and standard errors on vertical axes (Borenstein et al.,

2021). Asymmetrical plots suggest publication bias (Cooper et al., 2019). Begg and Mazumdar's rank correlation test was computed to examine the presence of funnel plot asymmetry. This test computes a rank correlation between the deviations of individual effects from the mean and their variances using a normalized version of Kendall's tau (Cooper et al., 2019). Egger's linear regression was also used to test the relationship between the observed treatment effects and their standard errors (Lin et al., 2018). A significant result implies publication bias or funnel plot asymmetry (Cooper et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2018).

Results

The initial search of the 12 electronic databases and 5 websites yielded 1,118 studies after removing duplicates. Because their titles and abstracts were not relevant to the topic, 961 studies were excluded. An additional five studies were identified from reference lists, resulting in 162 eligible studies. Of these, 142 studies were excluded because they did not evaluate an exercise intervention $(n = 89)$, did not include a

a Includes 5 records identified from a hand search CIPN—chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; PRISMA—Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses

comparison group $(n = 19)$, were review articles $(n = 19)$ 19), or did not measure CIPN symptoms $(n = 15)$. A total of 20 studies met the inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis (see Figure 1).

Study Characteristics

The included studies were published between 2012 and 2023 and included 18 published journal articles (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2012; Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Hammond et al., 2020; Henke et al., 2014; Ikio et al., 2022; Kleckner et al., 2018; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018), 1 dissertation (Kanzawa-Lee, 2020), and 1 conference paper (Kleckner et al., 2019). Of the 20 studies, 8 were conducted in the United States, 4 in Germany, 2 each in Canada and Turkey, and 1 each in India, Japan, China, and Thailand. Across all studies, participants were recruited from healthcare centers. Most studies $(n = 16)$ used control groups, which received usual care, as comparison groups (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Hammond et al., 2020; Henke et al., 2014; Ikio et al., 2022; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Zimmer et al., 2018). The remaining studies $(n = 4)$ used comparison groups that received health education as an attention control (Cao et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2012; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Visovsky et al., 2.014).

Exercise Characteristics

A summary of exercise characteristics is presented in Table 1. The exercise intervention was heterogeneous across the 20 studies. Three studies used yoga as an intervention (Bao et al., 2020; Clark et al., 2012; Knoerl et al., 2022). Ten studies used combined exercise for their exercise intervention (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Henke et al., 2014; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018). For example, one study combined aerobic exercise with stretching exercises (Gui et al., 2021), whereas four studies combined aerobic exercise with resistance training (Henke et al., 2014; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014). Three studies combined resistance and balance training (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Dhawan et al., 2020; Şimşek & Demir, 2021), and two studies combined aerobic

Continued on the next page

Continued on the next page

of each exercise per session at weeks

4–16

BPI—Brief Pain Inventory; CIPN—chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; CMAP—compound muscle action potential amplitude of peroneal nerve; EORTC QLQ—European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire; exam—examination; FACT/GOG-NTX—Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy/Gynecologic Oncology Group–Neurotoxicity; FACT-Taxane—Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Taxane; GI—gastrointestinal; HRR—heart rate reserve; LANSS—Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs; N/A—not available; NCS—nerve conduction studies; NHL—non-Hodgkin lymphoma; NRS—numeric rating scale; 1RM—1 repetition maximum; RCT—randomized controlled trial; RT—resistance training; S-LANSS—Leeds Assessment for Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs–self-report version; SMT—sensorimotor training; SNAP—sensory nerve action potential amplitude of sural nerve; TNSr—Total Neuropathy Score–reduced; VT—vibration training

exercise with resistance and balance training (Bland et al., 2019; Zimmer et al., 2018). Seven studies used a single exercise modality for their interventions (Cao et al., 2023; Hammond et al., 2020; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019). When considering the exercise modality, resistance training $(n = 11)$ was commonly used as an intervention component. Aerobic exercise $(n = 9)$ and balance training $(n = 9)$ were the second most frequently used as exercise components.

Across the 20 studies, 9 used supervised exercise (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2023; Gui et al., 2021; Henke et al., 2014; Knoerl et al., 2022; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Zimmer et al., 2018), and 7 used unsupervised exercises (Dhawan et al., 2020; Hammond et al., 2020; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014). In one study with two treatment groups (Müller et al., 2021), unsupervised sensorimotor training was provided for one group, and supervised and unsupervised resistance training was provided for the other group. The three remaining studies used supervised and unsupervised exercises (Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Clark et al., 2012). In nearly all studies $(n = 19)$, exercises were delivered to participants on an individual basis. Only one study delivered exercise in a group setting (Clark et al., 2012). In almost half of the studies (n =

9), counters or self-monitoring such as tracking sheets were used as methods to enhance intervention adherence (Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2012; Dhawan et al., 2020; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018; Knoerl et al., 2022; Visovsky et al., 2014). Six studies used telephone follow-up calls to monitor adverse events related to exercise and encourage adherence (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2023; Dhawan et al., 2020; Hammond et al., 2020; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021). In only five studies, intervention fidelity was assessed (Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Visovsky et al., 2014). Sixteen studies prescribed exercise intensity in their protocol (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Gui et al., 2021; Hammond et al., 2020; Henke et al., 2014; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018). For example, three studies maintained participants' heart rates between 50% and 85% of heart rate reserve (low to moderate intensity) for aerobic training (Bland et al., 2019; Henke et al., 2014; Kleckner et al., 2018). Three studies used the Borg Rating Scale of Perceived Exertion to measure exercise intensity levels to maintain a low to moderate intensity for participants (Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018; Zimmer et al., 2018).

Most studies (n = 17) measured CIPN symptoms using only patient-reported outcomes, such as the PainDETECT questionnaire (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Henke et al., 2014; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Knoerl et al., 2022; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018). Three studies used patient-reported outcomes and objective quantitative assessments of the function of the peripheral nervous system, including quantitative sensory testing, such as vibration sensation and pinprick, pressure/pain thresholds, reflexes, and deep sensitivity; and nerve conduction studies, such as compound muscle action potential amplitude of peroneal nerve and sensory nerve action potential amplitude of sural nerve (Bland et al., 2019; Hammond et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2021).

Study Quality

Nearly all the studies $(n = 18)$ were randomized controlled trials (Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2012; Dhawan et al., 2020; Hammond et al., 2020; Henke et al., 2014; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann

TABLE 2. Primary Study Quality Indicators ($N = 20$ **)**

CA—concealed allocation; IF—intervention fidelity; ITT—intention-to-treat analysis; N—quality indicator not reported or unable to be determined; quasi—quasiexperimental; RA—randomized assignment; RCT—randomized controlled trial; Y—quality indicator reported

^a Number of sample studies

k—number of comparisons with data on characteristic; M—median; max—maximum; min—minimum; Q1—first quartile; Q3—third quartile

et al., 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018). In five studies, data collectors were blinded (Hammond et al., 2020; Ikio et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019). Most of the studies used intention-to-treat analysis (n = 15) (Bao et al., 2020; Clark et al., 2012; Dhawan et al., 2020; Hammond et al., 2020; Ikio et al., 2022; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Kleckner et al., 2018, 2019; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018). Additional information about study quality indicators is presented in Table 2.

Characteristics of Primary Studies

Two studies consisted of two treatment groups (Müller et al., 2021; Streckmann et al., 2019). Therefore, the 20 reviewed studies provided 22 comparisons. Descriptive characteristics are presented in Table 3. The total number of participants in the analysis was 1,308, which consisted of 691 participants in exercise groups and 617 participants in comparison groups. Most studies $(n = 15)$ included only participants with solid tumors (Bahar-Ozdemir et al., 2020; Bao et al., 2020; Bland et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2023; Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Hammond et al., 2020; Henke et al., 2014; Kanzawa-Lee, 2020; Knoerl et al., 2022; Müller et al., 2021; Saraboon & Siriphorn, 2021; Şimşek & Demir, 2021; Visovsky et al., 2014; Zimmer et al., 2018), whereas three studies included participants with various cancers (solid tumor and hematologic) (Ikio et al., 2022; Kleckner et al., 2018; Streckmann et al., 2019). Two studies did not report cancer types (Clark et al., 2012; Kleckner et al., 2019).

Effect of Exercise on CIPN Symptoms

Supplemental Figure 1 online illustrates the forest plot of the effect sizes of the 22 comparisons and the summary effects. Solid squares display the weighted effect of the primary studies. The size of each square varies based on the study's precision. Study precision includes variance, standard error, and 95% CI, and is heavily influenced by sample size. Studies with good precision are assigned more weight than studies with poor precision. The width of the horizontal line through each square represents the 95% CIs for each study. Narrower lines reflect a greater precision. The diamond delineates the summary effect of 0.47. The width of the diamond represents the 95% CIs of the summary effect size. The single line at the bottom represents the 95% prediction interval, which reflects the range of true effects of similar studies that may be conducted in the future (Borenstein et al., 2021).

The forest plot showed one outlier (Şimşek & Demir, 2021), with an effect size of 6.68 (95% CI $\lceil 5.3 \rceil$ 8.06], p < 0.001). The sensitivity analysis showed the summary effect dropped from 0.47 to 0.28 (Hartung-Knapp–adjusted 95% CI [0.12, 0.45], $p = 0.002$) after removing the outlier (see Supplemental Figure 2 online). Therefore, the effect of exercise was significant even after removing the outlier. To put the effect sizes in context, 21 comparisons ($n = 19$ studies) resulted in improved CIPN symptoms; only 3 studies showed significant improvement (Dhawan et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2021; Kleckner et al., 2018). Finally, the single-group pre- and post-test comparisons showed no improvement for either correlated or uncorrelated analyses for exercise and control groups. Of note, the control group effect sizes were negative, indicating worsening, albeit nonsignificant, CIPN scores (see Table 4).

Results of Moderator Analyses

Although the Q statistic was not significant $(Q = 27.13,$ degrees of freedom = 20 , $p = 0.13$), indicating a trivial amount of observed dispersion (Borenstein et al., 2021), conducting moderator analyses may generate future hypotheses. No categorical moderators that influenced effect size were found (see Table 5). The meta-regression analysis revealed that the weekly

^a Number of sample studies

CI—confidence interval; ES—effect size (Hedges's g); k—number of comparisons; r—correlation between pre- and post-test scores; SE—standard error Note. All analyses reflect the Hartung-Knapp–adjusted 95% CI.

exercise frequency was significantly associated with effect size (see Table 6).

Publication Bias

The funnel plot of standard errors against effect sizes appeared somewhat symmetrical (see Supplemental Figure 3 online). The Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test (Kendall's tau = 0.18, p = 0.13, one-tailed) and Egger's regression test were not significant (intercept = 0.5, 95% CI [–0.73, 1.72], p = 0.41, twotailed). These findings suggested that publication bias was unlikely.

^a Number of sample studies

CI—confidence interval; ES—effect size; k—number of comparisons; SE—standard error Note. Outlier was removed before conducting the subgroup analysis.

Discussion

The purpose of this meta-analysis was to examine the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms in cancer survivors. Overall, exercise showed mild improvement in CIPN symptoms. Similar to most of the previous meta-analyses (Brownson-Smith et al., 2023; Crichton et al., 2022; de Arenas-Arroyo et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2021; Streckmann et al., 2022), this analysis found that exercise significantly improved CIPN symptoms. However, the findings were not aligned with those of Guo et al. (2023), who found that exercise did not improve CIPN symptoms based on four studies $(effect size = 0.02).$

The magnitude of the mean effect sizes in the current study was close to that of the studies by de Arenas-Arroyo et al. (2023) (effect size = –0.27, $n = 5$ studies, $k = 6$ comparisons) and Streckmann et al. (2022) (effect size = 0.43, $n = 5$ studies, $k = 6$ comparisons), but less than that of the studies by Crichton et al. (2022) (effect size = -0.89 , n = 2 studies), Brownson-Smith et al. (2023) (effect size = –0.71, n = 4 studies) and Lin et al. (2021) (effect size =

0.53, n = 5 studies). The difference in the mean effect size between the current study and the previous meta-analyses might be because more primary studies were included. The current study's findings are likely more reflective of the prior research.

A subgroup analysis was conducted to explore relationships between the effect sizes and potential sources of heterogeneity, which aimed to generate hypotheses for future studies. No significant difference was found across subgroups. This might be because the power was too low to detect a difference. Future researchers can further explore potential sources of heterogeneity. In the meta-regression analysis, weekly exercise frequency significantly moderated the effect of exercise on CIPN symptoms. That is, for every one day per week increase in the frequency of exercise, CIPN scores improved by about 0.09. It is likely that this effect size is too small to be clinically significant. Future studies can examine the effects of various weekly exercise frequencies.

The synthesis of exercise characteristics showed that most studies used combined exercise for their

^a Number of sample studies

CIPN—chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; k—number of comparisons; SE—standard error; slope—meta-regression coefficient; T—SD of true effect of the regression line; T^2 -variance of true effect of the regression line Note. Outlier was removed before conducting the meta-regression analysis.

interventions as opposed to a single exercise or yoga. Although a subgroup analysis revealed no difference between the three interventions (combined, single, and yoga), combined exercise (effect size = 0.41) and single exercise (effect size = 0.21) interventions were likely to improve CIPN symptoms, but yoga (effect size = -0.03) was not. The synthesis also revealed that resistance training was commonly used in the exercise protocols. It often was combined with balance and/or aerobic training. However, a subgroup analysis could not be conducted to detect the difference in each type of exercise because too few studies examined just one type. Therefore, only a well-rounded exercise routine, such as resistance training, aerobic exercise, balance training, stretching exercise, or yoga, can be recommended. Future studies can examine the effects of specific types of exercise on CIPN symptoms.

Of note, the single-group analyses showed no improvement in CIPN scores between pre- and posttest. However, the control groups' symptoms were found to have worsened. The worsening of the control groups' symptoms and the slight, but nonsignificant, improvement of the exercise groups' symptoms resulted in significant overall effect sizes when the two groups were compared at post-test.

Strengths and Limitations

This study was an updated comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms in cancer survivors. The inclusion of quasiexperimental studies was a major strength of this study. One limitation of this study was that it included only studies written in English; therefore, some relevant studies published in other languages may have been missed. Another limitation was the moderator analyses. Because of the small sample sizes of the included studies, confidence in drawing a conclusion about these analyses was limited; however, future studies can use the moderator variables highlighted in this study to generate additional research questions.

Implications for Nursing and Research

Nurses can educate and encourage cancer survivors to engage in exercise, including resistance training (e.g., using machine training, free weights, or resistance bands), aerobic exercise (e.g., treadmills, brisk walking), balance training (e.g., bipedal stance, single/ double leg standing, tandem stance), or yoga. Nurses can play a significant role in referring cancer survivors to exercise specialists familiar with people with cancer to address a range of physical abilities and

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- **Exercise should be considered as nonpharmacologic treatment for** relieving symptoms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in cancer survivors.
- To implement exercise for cancer survivors, healthcare providers should consider the exercise type, session minutes, frequency, and intensity.
- **Exercise programs can be targeted to cancer survivors with** chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy through consultation with interprofessional teams.

challenges. Future studies can determine what types and amounts of exercise are most effective in improving CIPN symptoms.

Conclusion

CIPN symptom management is a challenge for nurses and other healthcare providers. In the studies reviewed, exercise improved CIPN symptoms; however, because of the small sample of studies and heterogeneity, the findings should be generalized cautiously to patients with CIPN symptoms. Additional primary research is needed in this area. Nursing leaders can consider using the moderator findings of this review to guide the development of exercise protocols in healthcare settings for cancer survivors.

Usa Khemthong, PhD, RN, is an instructor in the Faculty of Nursing in the HRH Princess Chulabhorn College of Medical Science at Chulabhorn Royal Academy in Bangkok, Thailand; and Samah Hawsawi, PhD, RN, is a research assistant and Joanne Kraenzle Schneider, PhD, RN, FAAN, is a professor, both in the Trudy Busch Valentine School of Nursing at Saint Louis University in Missouri. Khemthong can be reached at usa.khe@cra.ac.th, with copy to ONFEditor@ons.org. (Submitted February 2024. Accepted April 9, 2024.)

The authors gratefully acknowledge Helen W. Lach, PhD, RN, CNL®, FGSA, FAAN, and Suzanne M. Mahon, DNS, RN, AOCN®, AGN-BC, FAAN, for their suggestions for this study, and Mary Krieger, MLIS, RN, for her consultation with the comprehensive literature search.

No financial relationships to disclose.

Khemthong and Schneider provided the analysis. All authors contributed to the conceptualization and design, completed the data collection, provided statistical support, and contributed to the manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society. (2024). *Peripheral neuropathy*. https:// bit.ly/4d07hcE
- Argyriou, A.A., Kyritsis, A.P., Makatsoris, T., & Kalofonos, H.P. (2014). Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in adults: A comprehensive update of the literature. *Cancer Management and Research, 6*, 135–147. https://doi.org/10.2147/ cmar.S44261
- Bahar-Ozdemir, Y., Akyuz, G., Kalkandelen, M., & Yumuk, P.F. (2020). The effect of therapeutic exercises on balance, quality of life, and pain in patients who were receiving neurotoxic chemotherapy. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 99*(4), 291–299. https://doi.org/10.1097/phm.00000000 00001324
- Bao, T., Zhi, I., Baser, R., Hooper, M., Chen, C., Piulson, L., . . . Mao, J.J. (2020). Yoga for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy and fall risk: A randomized controlled trial. *JNCI Cancer Spectrum, 4*(6), pkaa048. https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/ pkaa048
- Bland, K.A., Kirkham, A.A., Bovard, J., Shenkier, T., Zucker, D., McKenzie, D.C., . . . Campbell, K.L. (2019). Effect of exercise on taxane chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in women with breast cancer: A randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Breast Cancer, 19*(6), 411–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc .2019.05.013
- Borenstein, M., Hedges, L.V., Higgins, J.P.T., & Rothstein, H.R. (2021). *Introduction to meta-analysis* (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons.
- Brownson-Smith, R., Orange, S.T., Cresti, N., Hunt, K., Saxton, J., & Temesi, J. (2023). Effect of exercise before and/or during taxane-containing chemotherapy treatment on chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy symptoms in women with breast cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*. Advance online publication. https://doi .org/10.1007/s11764-023-01450-w
- Burgess, J., Ferdousi, M., Gosal, D., Boon, C., Matsumoto, K., Marshall, A., . . . Alam, U. (2021). Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: Epidemiology, pathomechanisms and treatment. *Oncology and Therapy, 9*(2), 385–450. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s40487-021-00168-y
- Cao, A., Cartmel, B., Li, F.-Y., Gottlieb, L.T., Harrigan, M., Ligibel, J.A., . . . Ferrucci, L.M. (2023). Effect of exercise on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy among patients treated for ovarian cancer: A secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Network Open, 6*(8), e2326463. https://doi .org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.26463
- Carozzi, V.A., Canta, A., & Chiorazzi, A. (2015). Chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy: What do we know about mechanisms? *Neuroscience Letters, 596*, 90–107. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.neulet.2014.10.014
- Caspersen, C.J., Powell, K.E., & Christenson, G.M. (1985). Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: Definitions and

distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Reports, 100*(2), 126–131. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC1424733

- Chen, S.-C., Huang, H.-P., Huang, W.-S., Lin, Y.-C., Chu, T.-P., Beaton, R.D., & Jane, S.-W. (2020). Non-randomized preliminary study of an education and elastic-band resistance exercise program on severity of neuropathy, physical function, muscle strength and endurance and quality of life in colorectal cancer patients experiencing oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing, 49,* 101834. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ejon.2020.101834
- Clark, P.G., Cortese-Jimenez, G., & Cohen, E. (2012). Effects of reiki, yoga, or meditation on the physical and psychological symptoms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A randomized pilot study. *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 17*(3), 161–171. https://doi.org/10 .1177/2156587212450175
- Conn, V.S., Hafdahl, A.R., Moore, S.M., Nielsen, P.J., & Brown, L.M. (2009). Meta-analysis of interventions to increase physical activity among cardiac subjects. *International Journal of Cardiology, 133*(3), 307–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.03.052
- Conn, V.S., & Rantz, M.J. (2003). Research methods, research methods: Managing primary study quality in meta-analyses. *Research in Nursing and Health, 26*(4), 322–333. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/nur.10092
- Cooper, H., Hedges, L.V., & Valentine, J.C. (Eds.). (2019). *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (3rd ed.). Russel Sage Foundation.
- Crichton, M., Yates, P.M., Agbejule, O.A., Spooner, A., Chan, R.J., & Hart, N.H. (2022). Non-pharmacological self-management strategies for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in people with advanced cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients, 14*(12), 2403. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14122403
- de Arenas-Arroyo, S.N., Cavero-Redondo, I., Torres-Costoso, A., Reina-Gutiérrez, S., Lorenzo-García, P., & Martínez-Vizcaíno, V. (2023). Effects of exercise interventions to reduce chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy severity: A meta-analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 33*(7), 1040–1053. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.14360
- Dhawan, S., Andrews, R., Kumar, L., Wadhwa, S., & Shukla, G. (2020). A randomized controlled trial to assess the effectiveness of muscle strengthening and balancing exercises on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathic pain and quality of life among cancer patients. *Cancer Nursing, 43*(4), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1097/ncc.0000000000000693
- Eli Lilly and Company. (2010). *Cymbalta (duloxetine hydrochloride) delayed-release capsules for oral use* [Package insert]. https://www .accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/022516lbl.pdf
- Fu, R., Gartlehner, G., Grant, M., Shemliyan, T., Sedrakyan, A., Wilt, T.J., . . . Trikalinos, T.A. (2010). Conducting quantitative synthesis when comparing medical interventions: AHRQ and

the effective health care program. In *Methods guide for effectiveness and comparative effectiveness reviews*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://bit.ly/4fizrRn

- Gui, Q., Li, D., Zhuge, Y., & Xu, C. (2021). Efficacy of exercise rehabilitation program in relieving oxaliplatin induced peripheral neurotoxicity. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 22*(3), 705–709. https://doi.org/10.31557/apjcp.2021.22.3.705
- Guo, S., Han, W., Wang, P., Wang, X., & Fang, X. (2023). Effects of exercise on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 17*(2), 318–331. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11764-022-01182-3
- Hammond, E.A., Pitz, M., Steinfeld, K., Lambert, P., & Shay, B. (2020). An exploratory randomized trial of physical therapy for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 34*(3), 235–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968319899918
- Han, Y., & Smith, M.T. (2013). Pathobiology of cancer chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). *Frontiers in Pharmacology, 4*, 156. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2013.00156
- Henke, C.C., Cabri, J., Fricke, L., Pankow, W., Kandilakis, G., Feyer, P.C., & de Wit, M. (2014). Strength and endurance training in the treatment of lung cancer patients in stages IIIA/IIIB/IV. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 22*(1), 95–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00520-013-1925-1
- Ikio, Y., Sagari, A., Nakashima, A., Matsuda, D., Sawai, T., & Higashi, T. (2022). Efficacy of combined hand exercise intervention in patients with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 30*(6), 4981–4992. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520 -022-06846-5
- Kanzawa-Lee, G.A. (2020). *Exercise to reduce chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A pilot RCT* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan]. Deep Blue Documents.
- Kleckner, I., Gewander, J., Heckler, C., Staples, S., Colasurdo, A., Lin, P.J., . . . Mustian, K. (2019, June 21–23). *Randomized controlled study of exercise during chemotherapy for chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) and physical function* [Poster abstract]. MASCC/IS00 Annual Meeting 2019, San Francisco, CA, United States.
- Kleckner, I.R., Kamen, C., Gewandter, J.S., Mohile, N.A., Heckler, C.E., Culakova, E., . . . Mustian, K.M. (2018). Effects of exercise during chemotherapy on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A multicenter, randomized controlled trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 26*(4), 1019–1028. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00520-017-4013-0
- Knoerl, R., Giobbie-Hurder, A., Berfield, J., Berry, D., Meyerhardt, J.A., Wright, A.A., & Ligibel, J.A. (2022). Yoga for chronic chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy pain: A pilot, randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 16*(4), 882–891. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-021-01081-z
- Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P.C.,

Ioannidis, J.P.A., . . . Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ, 339*, b2700. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj .b2700

- Lin, L., Chu, H., Murad, M.H., Hong, C., Qu, Z., Cole, S.R., & Chen, Y. (2018). Empirical comparison of publication bias tests in meta-analysis. *Journal of General Internal Medicine, 33*(8), 1260–1267. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-018-4425-7
- Lin, W.-L., Wang, R.-H., Chou, F.-H., Feng, I.-J., Fang, C.-J., & Wang, H.-H. (2021). The effects of exercise on chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy symptoms in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 29*(9), 5303–5311. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-06082-3
- Loprinzi, C.L., Lacchetti, C., Bleeker, J., Cavaletti, G., Chauhan, C., Hertz, D.L., . . . Hershman, D.L. (2020). Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: ASCO guideline update. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 38*(28), 3325–3348. https://doi.org/10.1200/ jco.20.01399
- McCrary, J.M., Goldstein, D., Wyld, D., Henderson, R., Lewis, C.R., & Park, S.B. (2019). Mobility in survivors with chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy and utility of the 6-Min Walk Test. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship, 13*(4), 495–502. https://doi .org/10.1007/s11764-019-00769-7
- Müller, J., Weiler, M., Schneeweiss, A., Haag, G.M., Steindorf, K., Wick, W., & Wiskemann, J. (2021). Preventive effect of sensorimotor exercise and resistance training on chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy: A randomised-controlled trial. *British Journal of Cancer, 125*(7), 955–965. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41416-021-01471-1
- Saraboon, C., & Siriphorn, A. (2021). Effects of foam pad balance exercises on cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: A randomized control trial. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies, 28*, 164–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2021.07.013
- Şimşek, N.Y., & Demir, A. (2021). Cold application and exercise on development of peripheral neuropathy during taxane chemotherapy in breast cancer patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Oncology Nursing, 8*(3), 255–266. https://doi.org/10.4103/apjon.apjon-2075
- Streckmann, F., Balke, M., Cavaletti, G., Toscanelli, A., Bloch, W., Décard, B.F., . . . Faude, O. (2022). Exercise and neuropathy: Systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine, 52*(5), 1043–1065. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01596-6
- Streckmann, F., Lehmann, H.C., Balke, M., Schenk, A., Oberste, M., Heller, A., . . . Baumann, F.T. (2019). Sensorimotor training and whole-body vibration training have the potential to reduce motor and sensory symptoms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy—A randomized controlled pilot trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 27*(7), 2471–2478. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00520-018-4531-4
- Visovsky, C., Bovaird, J.A., Tofthagen, C., & Rice, J. (2014).

Heading off peripheral neuropathy with exercise: The HOPE study. *Nursing and Health, 2*(6), 115–121. https://doi.org/10.13189/ nh.2014.020602

- Wu, C.-J., Chan, Y.-N., Yen, L.-Y., Chen, Y.-H., Lo, C., Tseng, L.-M., & Wang, Y.-J. (2022). Extremity exercise program in breast cancer survivors suffering from chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A feasibility pilot study. *Healthcare, 10*(4), 688. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10040688
- Zajączkowska, R., Kocot-Kępska, M., Leppert, W., Wrzosek, A., Mika, J., & Wordliczek, J. (2019). Mechanisms of

chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 20*(6), 1451. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms20061451

Zimmer, P., Trebing, S., Timmers-Trebing, U., Schenk, A., Paust, R., Bloch, W., . . . Baumann, F.T. (2018). Eight-week, multimodal exercise counteracts a progress of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy and improves balance and strength in metastasized colorectal cancer patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer, 26*(2), 615–624. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3875-5

QUESTION GUIDE FOR A JOURNAL CLUB

Journal clubs can help to increase and translate findings to clinical practice, education, administration, and research. Use the following questions to start discussion at your next journal club meeting. Then, take time to recap the discussion and make plans to proceed with suggested strategies.

- 1. Discuss the literature about the relationship between exercise and chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN).
- 2. What are some of the current limitations regarding the effects of exercise on CIPN in the published research?
- 3. Describe scenarios for discussing exercise with cancer survivors with CIPN.

Visit https://bit.ly/1vUqbVj for details on creating and participating in a journal club. Contact pubONF@ons.org for assistance or feedback. Photocopying of the article for discussion purposes is permitted.

