

Oncology nurses need to be competent in the ever-expanding application of genomics in cancer care, and understanding foundational terms is necessary. A landscape analysis of Oncology Nursing Society (ONS) materials, a literature review, and expert opinion revealed inconsistencies and varying use of genomic terms, some of which are outdated. In response, the ONS Genomics Taxonomy was built to address inaccuracies and discrepancies in terms and to be an accessible resource for oncology nurses. The taxonomy is a living document that is updated to reflect evolving science and evidence and serves to diminish confusion, improve genomic literacy, and assist oncology nurses in providing safe genomic care.

AT A GLANCE

- Advancements have transformed cancer care from genetics into genomics, necessitating changes in terminology used by professionals to avoid confusion and reflect the current state of the evidence.
- Patients and families rely on nurses to explain genomic terminology and concepts in ways that can be easily understood, which is facilitated by a taxonomy.
- Oncology nursing education programs should use consistent, accurate, and current terminology when providing education on genomic concepts.

KEYWORDS

genomics; oncology nursing; classification; quality and safety

DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER

10.1188/21.CJON.205-209

Using a Genomics Taxonomy

Facilitating patient care safety and quality in the era of precision oncology

Patricia Friend, PhD, APRN-CNS, AOCNS®, AGN-BC, Erin Dickman, MS, RN, OCN®, and Kathleen Calzone, PhD, RN, AGN-BC, FAAN

Genomics is fundamental to cancer care and foundational to oncology nursing practice. However, practicing oncology nurses' knowledge of genomics is limited, and few oncology nurses have learned genomic content during educational preparation (Aiello, 2017). Expanding implications for germline genetic testing (Giri et al., 2020), as well as the rapid influx of biomarkers, biomarker testing with new technologies, and targeted therapies into cancer care, necessitate use of accurate and consistent terminology reflecting current evidence. Understanding these foundational terms and concepts is critical to reduce errors and confusion in practice and increase quality of care. The many quality and safety implications to genomics-based oncology care include incorrect or no somatic and/or germline testing performed when indicated; missed or incorrect genetic professional referrals; test result misinterpretation, leading to incorrect or absent interventions and/or therapies; and patient and family confusion—all of which result in missed opportunities for cancer prevention and effective cancer treatment.

Terminology is transitioning in cancer care from genetics into genomics as technology and science evolve and evidence-based testing applications expand. Genomics, the entire genome of any organism (including humans), is an

expansion of genetics, which refers to a specific gene, such as *BRCA1* (National Human Genome Research Institute, 2020b). The focus on genomics is largely the result of rapid advances in technology, with substantial reduction in costs. The benchmark, now largely achieved, has been the ability to sequence an entire genome for \$1,000 (National Human Genome Research Institute, 2020a). This was a cost considered within range of other healthcare tests that would make it feasible to translate genomics into practice to improve health outcomes.

As a result, patients with cancer receive increasing amounts of individualized genomic information about their disease through germline and somatic biomarker testing. The varied and inconsistent use of terms is a definite obstacle to effective patient-clinician communication and, ultimately, to optimal patient care (Martin et al., 2020). Oncology nurses play an essential role in leading the patient and family through the complex matrix of tests, results, and therapy plans. The development and adoption of a standard taxonomy improves communication among healthcare professionals, helps nurses educate patients and their families, diminishes confusion and the risk for error, and reflects the current state of the evidence.

Genomics Through a Quality and Safety Lens

The risk for error because of lack of genomic literacy is best illustrated by a case study

of a nurse and other healthcare providers who appear to have misinterpreted the results of a germline genetic test, resulting in the patient undergoing risk-reducing surgery that was not indicated based on the genetic test results (Ray, 2017). The patient's genetic testing result, a variant of uncertain significance (VUS), illustrates how important terminology is to the provision of quality health care. The genetic test was abnormal because a VUS was detected. A VUS is considered a mutation according to the definition of a mutation being a change in a DNA sequence (National Human Genome Research Institute, 2020b). However, mutations are not all the same; they can be benign (harmless with no effect on gene function) or pathogenic (altered gene function that contributes to disease development). The outdated term "mutation" connotes harm, but in this case of a VUS, the evidence was insufficient to determine whether this genetic change altered the function of the gene or had any health implications. Confusion about the meaning of the term "mutation" is partially why the American College of Medical Genetics changed the nomenclature to increase clarity (Richards et al., 2015).

The patient also did not understand the genetic test results; she thought her results were "positive" and either failed to understand or was not counseled on the meaning of a VUS, leading to unnecessary surgery (Bever, 2017). Many healthcare providers

aside from the nurse were involved in the care of this patient. The important point is not to define whether someone is or is not at fault; the point is that terminology and language matter to healthcare quality and safety, and many healthcare providers are unfamiliar with genomic terms. A study by Macklin et al. (2019) demonstrated that almost half of surveyed physicians (n = 39 of 84) incorrectly defined a VUS in a case study scenario. Proper classification of genomic variants and level of variant pathogenicity is critical for safe genomic care. The use of consistent and accurate terminology reduces medical errors and facilitates effective patient and family

throughout educational offerings and resources. This group (a) identified terms that required definitions based on the survey; (b) performed a landscape analysis of current ONS materials and expert input; (c) used established peer-reviewed definitions; (d) identified companion graphics; (e) created clinical examples; and (f) categorized terms based on use in practice. The resulting taxonomy then went through peer review with the entire GAB.

Formulation of the Taxonomy

Identifying and defining genomic terms quickly illustrated the need to group or

"With this expansion of genomics in cancer care comes new terminology and the need to translate this information to the patient with cancer."

education and comprehension of complex genomic concepts (Haga et al., 2014; Kohn, 2001). Foundational terms and a taxonomy of key concepts are the essential underpinning for improving oncology nurse genomic competency. There is ample literature describing adverse events that can occur due to lack of genomic literacy (Bonadies et al., 2014; Brierley et al., 2012; Farmer et al., 2019; Mahon, 2019).

Objectives and Methods

In 2019, the Oncology Nursing Society (ONS) established a Genomics Advisory Board (GAB) of genomic nursing experts who conducted a membership survey to inform genomic action items, and they found that term definitions were needed. A GAB knowledge project group was established and charged to develop genomic education. The work could not move forward without setting foundational terminology to be used consistently

classify terms based on subject categories, and the taxonomic structure was subsequently devised. Categorization of foundational terms promotes concept understanding and improves literacy and application to genomics-based oncology practice. The ONS Genomics Taxonomy launched in August 2020 and can be accessed on the ONS Genomics and Precision Oncology Learning Library (www.ons.org/learning-libraries/precision-oncology). Consistent use of accurate terms using the ONS Genomics Taxonomy will be represented in all ONS materials. Adoption and standardization of the terms defined in the ONS Genomics Taxonomy will occur over time. The taxonomy consists of six categories with individual terms. Many terms include clinical scenarios and/or graphics. As the evidence base continues to evolve and expand, so will this taxonomy. Work has already begun on advanced genomic concepts that will be

TEST YOUR KNOWLEDGE

Which term best represents an inherited alteration in a person's DNA that directly contributes to the development of disease?

- Insertion
- Mutation
- Germline pathogenic variant
- Somatic alteration

Answer: A germline (inherited) pathogenic variant in a gene associated with cancer increases risk of developing disease.

Downloaded on 07-06-2024. Single-user license only. Copyright 2024 by the Oncology Nursing Society. For permission to post online, reprint, adapt, or reuse, please email pubpermissions@ons.org. ONS reserves all rights.

added to the taxonomy. Figure 1 depicts the categorization of the taxonomy as of March 2021.

Nursing Considerations

The ONS Genomics Taxonomy is relevant to oncology nursing because it directly affects oncology nursing practice. The field has moved from testing single genes to using multigene panel tests or even

whole-genome sequencing. Indications for germline testing to identify inherited cancer risk are escalating (Lincoln et al., 2020). Pharmacogenomics is increasingly used to inform medication selection and optimal dosing and to identify contraindications. Gene expression analysis is part of evidence-based guidelines to predict recurrence risk to further inform adjuvant therapies in diseases such as breast

cancer. Somatic (tumor) testing is also being used to inform targeted therapy selection. These applications represent just a few examples.

With this expansion of genomics in cancer care comes new terminology and the need to translate this information to the patient with cancer. For example, in the genomics era, terms such as “mutation” quickly became confusing and

FIGURE 1.
ONS GENOMICS TAXONOMY CATEGORIZATION (AS OF MARCH 2021)

GENOME FOUNDATIONS

- Genome
- Allele
- Chromosome
- DNA
- Epigenetics
- Exon
- Gene
- Genetics
- Genotype
- Germ line
- Genomics
- Heterozygous
- Homozygous
- Intron
- Loss of heterozygosity (LOH)
- Microbiome
- Microsatellite
- Wild-type gene

SUBCATEGORY: CLINICAL GENOMICS

- Cascade genetic testing
- Penetrance
- Pathognomonic
- Precision medicine
- Pharmacogenomics
- Phenocopy
- Phenotype

MODE OF INHERITANCE

- Autosomal dominant
- Autosomal recessive
- X-linked dominant
- X-linked recessive
- Mitochondrial

SUBCATEGORY: FAMILY HISTORY

- Pedigree

- Proband

BIOMARKERS

- Susceptibility/risk biomarker
- Diagnostic biomarker
- Monitoring biomarker
- Prognostic biomarker
- Predictive biomarker
- Pharmacodynamic/response biomarker
- Safety biomarker

BIOMARKER TESTING

- Cytogenetics
- Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)
- Genetic testing
- Immunohistochemistry (IHC)
- Karyotype
- Liquid biopsy
- Multigene panel testing
- Next-generation sequencing
- Polygenic risk score
- Sanger sequencing
- Whole-exome sequencing
- Whole-genome sequencing

VARIANT

- De novo variant
- Deletion
- Duplication
- Frameshift
- Insertion
- Large genomic rearrangements
- Microsatellite instability (MSI)
- Missense
- Nonsense
- Polymorphism
- Single-nucleotide polymorphism
- Substitution

SUBCATEGORY: CHROMOSOMAL REARRANGEMENT

- Inversion
- Deletion
- Duplication
- Numerical
- Translocation

SUBCATEGORY: GERMLINE VARIANT CLASSIFICATION

- Deleterious
- Pathogenic variant
- Likely pathogenic variant
- Variant of uncertain significance
- Likely benign variant
- Benign variant

SUBCATEGORY: SOMATIC VARIANTS

- Driver variants
- Passenger variants
- Copy number variation

SUBCATEGORY: SOMATIC VARIANT CLASSIFICATION (BASED ON ACTIONABILITY)

- Tier I
- Tier II
- Tier III
- Tier IV

INCIDENTAL FINDING

- Anticipatable
- Unanticipatable
- Secondary finding
- Discovery finding

Note. From “ONS Genomics Taxonomy,” by Oncology Nursing Society, 2021 (<https://www.ons.org/genomics-taxonomy>). Copyright 2021 by Oncology Nursing Society.

outdated. When testing capacity could be expanded to incorporate genes with varying levels of evidence and testing

expanded to encompass inherited and tumor genomics, it became essential to standardize and classify genetic changes.

As a result, new terminology and classification criteria were developed to help laboratories consistently classify the pathogenicity of the genetic change and communicate consistent information about what are now termed “variants” to healthcare providers (Richards et al., 2015). Additional nursing genomic resources are listed in Figure 2.

FIGURE 2.
GENOMIC RESOURCES FOR ONCOLOGY NURSES

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY CANCER CENTERS

BiomarkerLive program created to raise awareness about biomarker testing, increase access to education materials, and establish a network of advocacy and professional partners to facilitate the integration of precision medicine into practice

- <https://bit.ly/3kj19CY>

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF MEDICAL GENETICS AND GENOMICS

A multidisciplinary organization for medical genetics

- www.acmg.net

CANCER SUPPORT COMMUNITY

Speaking Frankly About Precision Oncology series that consists of patient-friendly videos on precision medicine, targeted therapies, inherited cancers, and biomarkers

- <https://bit.ly/3utaQ57>

CONSISTENT TESTING TERMINOLOGY WORKING GROUP

A group of more than 40 patient advocacy organizations committed to clarifying and promoting consistent use of common terms for biomarker and germline genetic testing

- www.commoncancertestingterms.org

GENETICS AND GENOMICS COMPETENCY CENTER

Online repository of genomic educational materials; can search by discipline

- <https://genomicseducation.net>

GLOBAL GENETICS AND GENOMICS COMMUNITY

Learning portal with a bilingual collection of interactive cases that demonstrate how genetics and genomics link to health and illness

- www.genomicscases.net/en

GLOBAL GENOMICS NURSING ALLIANCE

An international organization of nurses interested in genomics’ integration into general (non-genetics specialist) nursing practice

- www.g2na.org

INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY OF NURSES IN GENETICS

An international organization of nursing clinical genetic experts and genetic nursing scientists

- www.isong.org

METHOD FOR INTRODUCING A NEW COMPETENCY: GENOMICS

A resource for nurse educators and administrators interested in integrating genomic competency into nursing practice

- <http://genomicsintegration.net>

NATIONAL HUMAN GENOME RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Collaborates with the scientific and medical communities to catalyze genomic breakthroughs and support the robust study and treatment of specific diseases

- www.genome.gov

ONS GENOMICS AND PRECISION ONCOLOGY LEARNING LIBRARY

Genomic learning tools and resources for practice

- <https://bit.ly/3uw8far>

PERSONALIZED MEDICINE COALITION

Supports investment in and adoption of personalized medicine through education, advocacy, and evidence development

- www.personalizedmedicinecoalition.org

PRECISION MEDICINE ADVISORS

Specializes in communicating precision medicine to lay professional audiences, providing scientifically sound, unbiased information to promote the responsible use of genomics in medicine

- <https://bit.ly/3khLHFV>

RESEARCH ADVOCACY NETWORK

Advances patient-focused cancer research by fostering interaction among advocates, researchers and related organizations; offers precision medicine in oncology educational resources

- <https://bit.ly/3pQZzbk>

Conclusion

Misconceptions about foundational genomic concepts persist (Read & Ward, 2018), and oncology nurses cannot accurately apply genomic knowledge in practice unless they have genomic literacy. The ONS Genomics Taxonomy was developed to serve as a foundation for oncology nurses to be fluent in genomic terms, the underlying concepts, and their applications in practice. Use of this standard taxonomy enhances communication among healthcare providers and supports nurses when they educate patients and families about genomic concepts. This taxonomy will continue to reflect the current evidence and support oncology nurses integrating genomics into their practice, facilitating safe, quality patient care.

Patricia Friend, PhD, APRN-CNS, AOCNS®, AGN-BC, is an associate professor and program director in the Marcella Niehoff School of Nursing at Loyola University Chicago in Illinois; **Erin Dickman, MS, RN, OCN®,** is an oncology clinical specialist at the Oncology Nursing Society in Pittsburgh, PA; and **Kathleen Calzone, PhD, RN, AGN-BC, FAAN,** is a research geneticist in the Genetics Branch of the Center for Cancer Research at the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, in Bethesda, MD. Friend can be reached at pfriend@luc.edu, with copy to CJONEditor@ons.org.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Oncology Nursing Society Genomics Advisory Board members Elisabeth King, RN, FNP, AOCNP®, AGN, Suzanne M. Mahon, DNS, RN, AOCN®, AGN-BC, FAAN, Mary L. Schmitt, MS, APRN, FNP-BC, AOCNP®, and Kelliann C. Fee-Schroeder, BSN, RN, OCN®, for their review of the taxonomy.

The authors take full responsibility for this content. This project received in-kind support from the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute.

REFERENCES

- Aiello, L.B. (2017). Genomics education: Knowledge of nurses across the profession and integration into practice. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 21(6), 747–753. <https://doi.org/10.1188/17.CJON.747-753>
- Bever, L. (2017, October 24). 'Damaged for the rest of my life': Woman says surgeons mistakenly removed her breasts and uterus. *Washington Post*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2017/10/24/damaged-for-the-rest-of-my-life-woman-says-surgeons-mistakenly-removed-her-breasts-and-uterus>
- Bonadies, D.C., Brierley, K.L., Barnett, R.E., Baxter, M.D., Donenberg, T., Ducaie, W.L., . . . Matloff, E.T. (2014). Adverse events in cancer genetic testing: The third case series. *Cancer Journal*, 20(4), 246–253. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0000000000000057>
- Brierley, K.L., Blouch, E., Cogswell, W., Homer, J.P., Pencarinha, D., Stanislaw, C.L., & Matloff, E.T. (2012). Adverse events in cancer genetic testing: Medical, ethical, legal, and financial implications. *Cancer Journal*, 18(4), 303–309. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0b013e3182609490>
- Farmer, M.B., Bonadies, D.C., Mahon, S.M., Baker, M.J., Ghate, S.M., Munro, C., . . . Matloff, E.T. (2019). Adverse events in genetic testing: The fourth case series. *Cancer Journal*, 25(4), 231–236. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PPO.0000000000000391>
- Giri, V.N., Knudsen, K.E., Kelly, W.K., Cheng, H.H., Cooney, K.A., Cookson, M.S., . . . Gomella, L.G. (2020). Implementation of germline testing for prostate cancer: Philadelphia Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference 2019. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 38(24), 2798–2811. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.00046>
- Haga, S.B., Mills, R., & Bosworth, H. (2014). Striking a balance in communicating pharmacogenetic test results: Promoting comprehension and minimizing adverse psychological and behavioral response. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 97(1), 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2014.06.007>
- Kohn, L.T. (2001). The Institute of Medicine report on medical error: Overview and implications for pharmacy. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 58(1), 63–66. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/58.1.63>
- Lincoln, S.E., Nussbaum, R.L., Kurian, A.W., Nielsen, S.M., Das, K., Michalski, S., . . . Esplin, E.D. (2020). Yield and utility of germline testing following tumor sequencing in patients with cancer. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(10), e2019452. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.19452>
- Macklin, S.K., Jackson, J.L., Atwal, P.S., & Hines, S.L. (2019). Physician interpretation of variants of uncertain significance. *Familial Cancer*, 18(1), 121–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-018-0086-2>
- Mahon, S.M. (2019). Coordination of genetic care: More important and complicated than it seems. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, 17(11), 1272–1276. <https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2019.7343>
- Martin, N.A., Friedman, S.J., Saxton, C., Yarden, R., Lindsey, S., Kuhn, E., . . . Horn, M.K. (2020). Using consistent terms in precision medicine to eliminate patient confusion. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 38(15, Suppl.), e24164–e24164. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.e24164
- National Human Genome Research Institute. (2020a, December 7). DNA sequencing costs: Data. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/fact-sheets/DNA-Sequencing-Costs-Data>
- National Human Genome Research Institute. (2020b). Talking glossary of genetic terms. <https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/c#glossary>
- Ray, T. (2017, October 27). Oregon lawsuit highlights importance of genetic counseling during period of increasing test access. <https://www.genomeweb.com/cancer/oregon-lawsuit-highlights-importance-genetic-counseling-during-period-increasing-test-access#XidCXchKhaQ>
- Read, C.Y., & Ward, L.D. (2018). Misconceptions about genomics among nursing faculty and students. *Nurse Educator*, 43(4), 196–200. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.0000000000000444>
- Richards, S., Aziz, N., Bale, S., Bick, D., Das, S., Gastier-Foster, J., . . . Rehm, H.L. (2015). Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: A joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genetic Medicine*, 17(5), 405–423. <https://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2015.30>

DO YOU HAVE AN INTERESTING TOPIC TO SHARE?

Essentials updates readers about the foundation of clinical oncology practice. If you are interested in writing for this department, contact Associate Editor Linda Eaton, PhD, RN, AOCN®, at lineaton@uw.com.